

Maguire v. Commissioner, 21 T.C. 52 (1953)

When a corporation sells assets to its shareholders at a bargain price, the difference between the asset's cost, its fair market value, and the sale price affects the computation of the corporation's earnings and profits, influencing the taxability of distributions to shareholders.

Summary

Maguire v. Commissioner addresses how a corporation's bargain sale of stock to its shareholders affects the determination of its "earnings and profits" for dividend taxation purposes. Mogan Corporation sold shares of Panhandle Eastern Pipe Line Company to its shareholders at a price below both the stock's cost and fair market value. The Tax Court held that Mogan's earnings and profits should be reduced by the difference between the stock's cost and fair market value, but not by the discount offered to shareholders. This ultimately resulted in Mogan having no earnings or profits available for dividend distribution, and the distributions were treated as a return of capital.

Facts

Mogan Corporation distributed cash and rights to purchase Panhandle Eastern Pipe Line Company shares to its stockholders. The rights allowed stockholders to purchase Panhandle Eastern shares at \$30 per share when the fair market value was \$40 per share. Mogan had acquired the Panhandle Eastern shares in multiple blocks at varying costs. Mogan sold 151,958 shares through the exercise of these rights. The Commissioner determined that only 24.14% of Mogan's distributions were taxable dividends due to limitations based on Mogan's statutory "earnings or profits" for the tax year. Mogan's records did not indicate an intent to declare a dividend when granting the rights.

Procedural History

The Commissioner assessed deficiencies against the Maguires, treating a portion of the distributions and the benefit from exercising the stock rights as taxable dividends. The Maguires petitioned the Tax Court, arguing that the distributions were a return of capital and that the sale or exercise of rights resulted in capital gain, not ordinary income. The Tax Court reviewed the Commissioner's determination.

Issue(s)

1. Whether Mogan's distributions to its stockholders in 1944 were taxable as dividends, or whether they constituted a return of capital because Mogan had no earnings or profits available for dividend payments.
2. Whether income resulted from the exercise of rights to purchase Panhandle Eastern stock, and if so, whether such income should be treated as a dividend

or capital gain.

3. What is the proper tax treatment for shareholders who sold their rights to acquire Panhandle Eastern stock?

Holding

1. No, because Moka's earnings and profits for the taxable year, after accounting for the loss on the sale of Panhandle Eastern shares, were insufficient to cover the distributions. Therefore, the distributions were a return of capital.
2. No, because Moka had no earnings or profits available for distribution as dividends; thus, the distribution was not taxable as a dividend.
3. The shareholders have a cost basis of \$1 per right, representing the capital distribution to them. The gain from the sale of rights is calculated using this basis.

Court's Reasoning

The Tax Court determined that the distributions were not sourced from accumulated earnings, as Moka had a deficit at the start of the year. The court focused on whether the distributions could be sourced from "earnings or profits of the taxable year." It considered the impact of the bargain sale of Panhandle Eastern stock. The court distinguished between the sale of stock and a distribution of assets. It found that Moka sustained a loss of \$7.86 per share (the difference between cost and fair market value) which should reduce its earnings and profits for the year. The remaining \$10 discount per share was treated as a distribution, reducing accumulated earnings and profits in subsequent years but not current earnings under Section 115(a). The court relied on *R. D. Merrill Co.*, 4 T.C. 955, holding that when property is distributed and has a fair market value less than cost, the cost of the property should be charged against earnings or profits. Because the loss reduced Moka's earnings and profits below zero, the distributions were considered a return of capital under Section 115(d) of the Code. Regarding the sale of rights, the court reasoned that because Moka had no earnings to distribute, the rights represented a distribution of capital, giving the rights a basis equal to that distribution (\$1 per right).

Practical Implications

This case provides guidance on how to calculate a corporation's earnings and profits when it distributes property to shareholders at a bargain price. It clarifies that both the loss (difference between cost and fair market value) and the discount (difference between fair market value and sale price) have different effects on earnings and profits. The loss reduces current earnings, while the discount affects accumulated earnings in later years. This distinction is crucial for determining whether distributions are taxable dividends or a return of capital. The case emphasizes the importance of accurately valuing assets and understanding the corporation's

financial status when making distributions. This case is informative when a corporation makes distributions that aren't explicitly dividends but confer an economic benefit to the shareholder. It has been cited in subsequent cases regarding the calculation of earnings and profits and the tax treatment of corporate distributions. "When property, as such, is distributed, it is no longer a part of the assets of the corporation, and the investment therein goes with it. That investment is the cost."