American Automobile Association v. Commissioner, 19 T.C. 114 (1952)

To qualify as a tax-exempt "business league" under Section 101(7) of the Internal Revenue Code, an organization must primarily promote the common business interests of its members and not engage in regular business activities ordinarily conducted for profit, with no part of its net earnings inuring to the benefit of private shareholders or individuals.

Summary

The American Automobile Association (AAA) sought exemption from federal income tax as a business league under Section 101(7) of the Internal Revenue Code. The Tax Court denied the exemption, finding that AAA's activities primarily consisted of providing services to individual members rather than improving business conditions generally. The court emphasized that AAA engaged in substantial business activities, such as operating travel divisions and selling advertising, and that its net earnings ultimately benefited individual members, thus failing to meet the requirements for tax-exempt status.

Facts

The AAA provided services to individual motorists, automobile clubs, and commercial vehicle organizations. Membership was open to individual motorists, either directly or through affiliated clubs. AAA operated divisions that provided motoring and touring services, competing with other automobile clubs. It also solicited and sold advertising, sold travel publications, operated foreign travel and contest board departments, and indirectly sold automobile insurance to its division members through a separate agency.

Procedural History

The Commissioner of Internal Revenue determined that the AAA was not exempt from federal income tax for the years 1943, 1944, and 1945. The AAA petitioned the Tax Court for a redetermination. The Tax Court upheld the Commissioner's determination, finding that the AAA did not meet the requirements for exemption as a business league.

Issue(s)

Whether the American Automobile Association qualified as a tax-exempt business league under Section 101(7) of the Internal Revenue Code during the years 1943, 1944, and 1945.

Holding

No, because the AAA's primary activities consisted of providing services to individual members and engaging in business activities ordinarily conducted for

© 2025 SCOTUSreports.com. All rights reserved.

profit, with its net earnings inuring to the benefit of private individuals.

Court’s Reasoning

The court applied the requirements of Section 101(7) of the Internal Revenue Code and the Commissioner’s regulations (Regulations 111, section 29.101(7)-l) to the facts. The court found that AAA failed to meet several key requirements for a business league exemption. First, its membership wasn’t limited to persons with a common business interest. Second, its activities were primarily directed at performing services for individual members rather than improving business conditions generally in one or more lines of business. Third, AAA was engaged in a regular business of a kind ordinarily conducted for profit, mainly through its travel divisions and advertising sales. Finally, the court found that AAA’s net earnings inured to the benefit of private individuals, including both direct members and members of affiliated clubs, through subsidized services and publications. The court stated, “*The words ‘private individuals’ used in the statute are broad enough to include corporated and unincorporated associations as well as natural persons.*”

Practical Implications

This case provides a clear illustration of the criteria for determining whether an organization qualifies as a tax-exempt business league. It emphasizes the importance of demonstrating that the organization’s primary purpose is to promote the common business interests of its members, as opposed to providing services to individual members or engaging in profit-making activities. It highlights that even if an organization has some activities that could be considered beneficial to a line of business generally, the provision of member services can disqualify it. Later cases have cited this decision when denying tax-exempt status to organizations that primarily benefit their members rather than an entire industry.

© 2025 SCOTUSreports.com. All rights reserved.