19 T.C. 763 (1953)

A taxpayer is entitled to relief from excess profits tax under Section 722 of the Internal Revenue Code if its average base period net income is an inadequate standard of normal earnings due to changes in the business's character or unusual events during the base period.

Summary

Schneider's Modern Bakery sought relief from excess profits taxes for 1942-1944 under Section 722, arguing its average base period net income was an inadequate standard of normal earnings. The bakery cited a mechanization program that significantly reduced ingredient costs and a strike that increased operating expenses. The Tax Court held that the mechanization qualified the bakery for relief under Section 722(b)(4) and the strike qualified it for relief under Section 722(b)(1), determining a constructive average base period net income reflecting these factors.

Facts

Schneider's Modern Bakery, a family-owned Tennessee corporation, operated a small bakery. Prior to 1935, it relied heavily on manual labor with minimal mechanical equipment. Between 1935 and 1938, the bakery implemented a substantial mechanization program, purchasing new equipment and enlarging its building. This program significantly reduced waste and improved production efficiency. In 1936, the bakery experienced a three-month strike, forcing it to hire less experienced, higher-paid replacement workers and incur additional security expenses.

Procedural History

The Commissioner of Internal Revenue disallowed the bakery's claims for refunds of excess profits taxes for 1942, 1943, and 1944. Schneider's Modern Bakery then petitioned the Tax Court for relief, arguing that its average base period net income was an inadequate standard of normal earnings due to the mechanization and the strike.

Issue(s)

1. Whether the bakery's mechanization program constitutes a change in the character of the business under Section 722(b)(4), entitling it to relief from excess profits tax.
2. Whether the strike in 1936 constitutes an unusual event under Section 722(b)(1), entitling the bakery to relief from excess profits tax.

Holding

1. Yes, because the mechanization program was a fundamental change in the

© 2025 SCOTUSreports.com. All rights reserved.

character of the business, resulting in a significantly more efficient operation.
2. Yes, because the strike was an unusual and peculiar event that interrupted normal operation and increased costs.

Court's Reasoning

The Tax Court reasoned that the mechanization program was a significant change in the operation of the business, leading to a substantial reduction in ingredient costs and increased efficiency. The court rejected the Commissioner's argument that the mechanization was merely normal modernization, stating that the statute does not require a taxpayer to show relative superiority in the industry. Regarding the strike, the court acknowledged that it was an unusual event that increased operating costs. Although the bakery lacked precise records of these costs, the court estimated the additional expenses and adjusted the base period net income accordingly. The court stated: "Unusual and peculiar events contemplated in section 722 (b) (1) consist primarily of physical rather than economic events or circumstances. Except as otherwise described in this paragraph, such events would include floods, fires, explosions, strikes, and other such exceptional and uncommon circumstances hindering production, output, or operation".

Practical Implications

This case illustrates how businesses can obtain relief from excess profits taxes by demonstrating that their average base period net income is not representative of normal earnings due to significant changes in operations or unusual events. It clarifies that modernization of a business can qualify as a change in character under Section 722(b)(4), even if it doesn't make the business superior to others in the industry. It also shows that the Tax Court may estimate abnormal costs resulting from events like strikes, even if precise records are lacking, placing the burden on the taxpayer to provide the best available evidence. Later cases have cited Schneider's Modern Bakery for its interpretation of Section 722 and its approach to reconstructing base period income.

© 2025 SCOTUSreports.com. All rights reserved.