

***Radio Shack Corp. v. C.I.R.*, 21 T.C. 671 (1954)**

When reconstructing base period net income for excess profits tax relief, taxpayers cannot rely on post-1939 data or unsupported assumptions about hypothetical business growth.

Summary

Radio Shack Corp. sought to increase its constructive average base period net income for excess profits tax purposes under Section 722(b)(4) of the Internal Revenue Code. The Tax Court found the Commissioner's allowance inadequate but rejected the taxpayer's reconstructions as relying on unsupported assumptions and post-1939 data, which is prohibited by the statute. The court determined a constructive average base period net income higher than the Commissioner's allowance but lower than the taxpayer's claim, applying the variable credit rule for one of the years.

Facts

Radio Shack qualified for relief under Section 722(b)(4) due to changes in its business. The Commissioner allowed a constructive average base period net income of \$9,000 for 1941 and \$12,000 for 1942-1946. Radio Shack argued for a higher amount, "not less than \$24,500 to \$26,972," based on reconstructions that assumed its mail order and industrial business would have constituted 60% of its total business by the end of 1939 if the qualifying changes had occurred two years earlier. This assumption was based largely on the business's performance in 1947-1949.

Procedural History

Radio Shack appealed the Commissioner's determination of its constructive average base period net income to the Tax Court.

Issue(s)

Whether Radio Shack's proposed reconstructions of its average base period net income were acceptable under Section 722, considering the prohibition against using post-1939 data and the need for a factual basis for hypothetical assumptions.

Holding

No, because Radio Shack's reconstructions relied heavily on post-1939 data and unsupported assumptions, violating the principles of Section 722(a) and lacking a reliable factual foundation. However, the Commissioner's allowance was also inadequate.

Court's Reasoning

The court found Radio Shack's reconstructions unacceptable because they relied on the assumption that the mail order and industrial business would have grown significantly by 1939 based on its performance in 1947-1949. The court cited Section 722(a), which states that "no regard shall be had to events or conditions affecting the taxpayer * * * occurring or existing after December 31, 1939." The court noted that the 1947-1949 situation reflected 8 to 10 years of development, not the 2 years contemplated by Section 722(b)(4), and that economic conditions in those later years might have been different. The court also rejected a comparison to a branch store of Lafayette Radio due to doubts about the comparability of the businesses and the reliance on an arbitrary allocation of sales. The court emphasized that while reconstructions require some hypothesis and conjecture, they must be based on facts, which Radio Shack failed to provide. However, the court, using its best judgment, determined a constructive average base period net income of \$15,000 for most years and \$11,000 for 1941, applying the variable credit rule, which was upheld based on *Nielsen Lithographing Co.*, 19 T.C. 605.

Practical Implications

This case clarifies the limitations on reconstructing income for excess profits tax relief. It emphasizes that while some conjecture is permissible, reconstructions must be firmly grounded in pre-1940 facts and cannot rely on post-1939 performance data or unsupported assumptions about hypothetical business growth. This ruling impacts how tax practitioners approach similar cases, requiring them to meticulously document the factual basis for any reconstructed income figures. It also illustrates the importance of contemporaneous evidence and the difficulty of proving hypothetical scenarios without a solid foundation in historical data predating the excess profits tax period.