Davidson's, Inc. v. Commissioner, 21 T.C. 638 (1954)

To qualify for excess profits tax relief under Section 722 of the Internal Revenue Code, a taxpayer must demonstrate what its normal earnings would have been, considering only events and conditions existing on or before December 31, 1939, and must provide sufficient evidence to allow for a reasonable reconstruction of those earnings.

Summary

Davidson's, Inc. sought relief from excess profits tax under Section 722 of the Internal Revenue Code, arguing its average base period net income was an inadequate standard of normal earnings due to changes in capacity for operation. The Tax Court denied relief, finding that Davidson's reconstruction of normal earnings improperly considered post-1939 events and conditions. Furthermore, the Court determined the reconstruction lacked sufficient evidence of expenses incurred during the relevant base period to allow for a fair determination of normal earnings.

Facts

Davidson's acquired assets and the store location of a competitor (Johnson) and opened three branch stores during the base period years, representing changes in its capacity for operation. The business operated in the base period for only four months ending December 31, 1939. To calculate its constructive average base period net income, Davidson's used sales records up to December 31, 1939. However, in reconstructing expenses for the fiscal year ended March 31, 1940, Davidson's used combined expenses from its Texas Street store (until it closed in August 1939) and its Texas Avenue store (until March 31, 1940).

Procedural History

Davidson's, Inc. petitioned the Tax Court for relief from excess profits tax under Section 722 of the Internal Revenue Code. The Commissioner opposed the petition. The Tax Court reviewed the case, with the Special Division ultimately ruling against Davidson's.

Issue(s)

Whether Davidson's reconstruction of its normal earnings for the base period, for purposes of Section 722 excess profits tax relief, properly excluded events and conditions occurring after December 31, 1939, as required by the statute.

Holding

No, because Davidson's reconstruction of expenses improperly included events and conditions occurring after December 31, 1939, and because Davidson's failed to provide sufficient evidence of expenses incurred during the relevant base period to

© 2025 SCOTUSreports.com. All rights reserved.

allow for a fair determination of normal earnings.

Court’s Reasoning

The court emphasized that Section 722(a) explicitly prohibits considering events or conditions after December 31, 1939, when determining constructive average base period net income. The Court stated, “In determining such constructive average base period net income, no regard shall be had to events or conditions affecting the taxpayer, the industry of which it is a member, or taxpayers generally occurring or existing after December 31, 1939.” Davidson’s use of combined expenses for the fiscal year ending March 31, 1940, violated this provision. The court also noted that the reconstruction must be related to the taxpayer’s actual business experience in the base period. Because Davidson’s did not show the specific expenses of the new business from September to December 31, 1939, the court could not reconstruct a fair and just amount representing normal earnings. The court found that the expenses used were not representative of the new business because they contained items incurred while both Shreveport stores were in operation. The court concluded that it was prevented from reconstructing a fair amount of normal earnings because the expenses of the new operation for the period prior to January 1, 1940 were not provided.

Practical Implications

This case highlights the strict limitations imposed by Section 722 regarding the reconstruction of normal earnings for excess profits tax relief. Taxpayers seeking such relief must meticulously document and isolate financial data relevant to the base period, excluding any events or conditions arising after December 31, 1939. The decision emphasizes the importance of contemporaneous records and the need to clearly demonstrate the expenses and revenues of the business during the relevant period. This case serves as a cautionary tale for taxpayers seeking to reconstruct income for tax relief purposes, underscoring the necessity of adhering to statutory limitations and providing adequate supporting evidence. Subsequent cases applying Section 722 have cited Davidson’s, Inc. as an example of a failed reconstruction due to the inclusion of post-base period data.

© 2025 SCOTUSreports.com. All rights reserved.