Hall v. Commissioner, 19 T.C. 445 (1952)

r
r

Payments made by a partnership to retiring partners or the estates of deceased partners, pursuant to a pre-existing partnership agreement and designated as distributions of income, are treated as income to the recipients and are deductible from the income of the continuing partners, provided there was no sale of partnership interests intended.

r
r

Summary

r

This case addresses whether payments made by the accounting firm Touche, Niven & Company to retiring partners and the estate of a deceased partner were distributions of income or part of the purchase price of their partnership interests. The Tax Court determined that the payments were income distributions based on the intent of the 1936 partnership agreement. The agreement stipulated payments to be made from distributable profits and characterized them as income distributions to the retired or deceased partners. Therefore, the payments were deductible from the income of the continuing partners and taxable as ordinary income to the recipients.

r
r

Facts

r

The partnership agreement of Touche, Niven & Company, established in 1936, dictated the terms of payments to partners who retired or died. Article XI outlined the procedures for repayment of loans, current account balances, and capital contributions to retiring/deceased partners. Furthermore, it provided for additional payments, determined by the administrative partners, based on the former partner's share of earnings, to be paid out of distributable profits over six years. Whitworth and Clowes retired, and Stempf died, triggering these payment provisions. The administrative partners agreed to pay a total of $288,433.26 to these individuals or their estates.

r
r

© 2025 SCOTUSreports.com. All rights reserved.

Procedural History

r

The Commissioner of Internal Revenue argued that the payments were part of the purchase price for the retiring partners' interests, not income distributions. The Tax Court heard the case to determine the nature of these payments, which would impact the tax obligations of both the continuing partners and the recipients.

r
r

Issue(s)

r

Whether payments made by the partnership to retiring partners and the estate of a deceased partner were: 1) distributions of partnership income, deductible by the continuing partners and taxable as ordinary income to the recipients, or 2) payments for the purchase of the retiring partners' interests in the partnership, not deductible by the continuing partners but taxable as capital gains to the recipients.

r
r

Holding

r

Yes, the payments were distributions of partnership income because the partnership agreement explicitly stated the payments were to be made from distributable profits and intended as a distribution of income to the retiring partner or the estate of a deceased partner.

r
r

Court's Reasoning

r

The Tax Court based its decision on the intent of the parties as expressed in the 1936 partnership agreement. The court highlighted that the agreement specified the payments were to be made

© 2025 SCOTUSreports.com. All rights reserved.