Hemphill v. Commissioner, 25 T.C. 131 (1955)

Expenditures made to protect or promote a taxpayer's business, which do not result in the acquisition of a capital asset, are deductible as ordinary and necessary business expenses, even if there's no legal obligation to make the payments.

Summary

Hemphill, a funeral home owner, made payments to cover the operating deficits of a local mutual aid insurance association, used as an advertising tool. The Tax Court addressed whether these payments were deductible as ordinary and necessary business expenses. The court held that payments made before the business was incorporated were deductible because they protected and promoted the business, mirroring the practices of competitors. However, payments made after incorporation were not deductible as individual business expenses or non-business bad debts.

Facts

Hemphill operated a funeral home and, instead of creating a burial association like his competitors, participated in a local mutual aid insurance association. This association indirectly advertised Hemphill's funeral home. Hemphill made payments to cover the association's operating deficits. Prior to November 1, 1946, Hemphill operated the funeral home individually, and after that date, the business was conducted by a corporation. Hemphill continued making payments even after incorporation.

Procedural History

The Commissioner of Internal Revenue disallowed Hemphill's deduction of payments made to cover the insurance association's deficits. Hemphill appealed to the Tax Court, contesting the disallowance.

Issue(s)

1. Whether payments made by Hemphill to cover the operating deficits of the insurance association before incorporating his business are deductible as ordinary and necessary business expenses.
2. Whether payments made by Hemphill to cover the operating deficits of the insurance association after incorporating his business are deductible as ordinary and necessary business expenses or as non-business bad debts.

Holding

1. Yes, because these payments protected and promoted Hemphill's business and aligned with the prevailing practices of his competitors.
2. No, because these payments were neither deductible as an individual business expense after incorporation nor as a non-business bad debt, as the debt was

© 2025 SCOTUSreports.com. All rights reserved.

worthless when created.

Court’s Reasoning

The court reasoned that the payments made before incorporation were deductible because they were: 1) intended to promote and protect the business; 2) ordinary, as similar plans were used in the community; and 3) necessary, as Hemphill considered this form of advertising helpful. The court emphasized that

© 2025 SCOTUSreports.com. All rights reserved.