

## **19 T.C. 264 (1952)**

An insurance company holding proceeds includible in a decedent's gross estate is not a 'transferee' or 'trustee' liable for estate tax under Section 827(b) of the Internal Revenue Code.

### **Summary**

Equitable Life Assurance Society was assessed estate tax as a transferee/trustee for life insurance proceeds included in a decedent's gross estate. The Tax Court held that Equitable was not liable under Section 827(b) of the Internal Revenue Code. The court reasoned that Section 827(b) specifically enumerates liable parties, and an insurer holding proceeds for distribution under policy terms does not fall within those categories. The court emphasized that "beneficiary" under the statute refers to the recipient of the insurance proceeds, not the insurer itself. This case clarifies the limited scope of transferee liability for estate taxes concerning insurance proceeds.

### **Facts**

Avis A. Roudabush died on March 13, 1945, holding life insurance policies issued by Equitable Life Assurance Society. The policies contained optional settlement provisions, and Roudabush elected to have the proceeds paid to designated beneficiaries in installments. The net amount remaining under the policies at the date of the decedent's death and reported as part of the decedent's gross estate totaled \$5,493.72. The estate failed to pay the full estate tax deficiency, and the Commissioner sought to hold Equitable liable as a transferee or trustee under Section 827(b) of the Internal Revenue Code.

### **Procedural History**

The Commissioner of Internal Revenue issued a notice of deficiency to the estate of Avis A. Roudabush. The estate petitioned the Tax Court for redetermination, which resulted in a stipulated decision affirming the deficiency. After the estate failed to fully pay the deficiency, the Commissioner issued a notice of liability to Equitable Life Assurance Society as a transferee and trustee. Equitable then petitioned the Tax Court, challenging its liability.

### **Issue(s)**

Whether an insurer holding life insurance proceeds includible in a decedent's gross estate under Section 811(g) of the Internal Revenue Code is a "transferee" or "trustee" within the meaning of Section 827(b) and thus personally liable for estate tax.

### **Holding**

No, because Section 827(b) specifically enumerates who may be liable for unpaid estate tax, and an insurer holding proceeds for distribution under the terms of a policy to a beneficiary does not fall within those categories.

### **Court's Reasoning**

The court interpreted Section 827(b) by examining its specific language and legislative history. The court noted that the statute lists specific persons who may be liable, such as a spouse, transferee, trustee, surviving tenant, or beneficiary. The court reasoned that if Congress intended for insurers to be liable for estate tax on life insurance proceeds, it would have explicitly included them in the statute. The court stated, "We believe that the authors of this provision, desirous that the holders of the property under each of these subsections should be liable, studiously chose a classification applicable to each of such subsections and included them in section 827 (b) in the same order as the related property interests appear in subsections (b) through (g), inclusive, of section 811." The court also referenced the legislative history of the 1942 amendment to Section 827(b), which aimed to treat all assets included in the gross estate equally. However, the court found no indication that Congress intended to broaden the scope of the section to include insurance companies. The court distinguished its prior holding in *John Hancock Mutual Life Insurance Co.*, 42 B.T.A. 809, and determined it would no longer follow that precedent.

### **Practical Implications**

This decision provides clarity that life insurance companies are generally not liable as transferees or trustees for estate taxes on life insurance proceeds they hold for distribution to beneficiaries. It limits the scope of Section 827(b) to the specific categories of persons listed in the statute. Attorneys can use this case to argue that insurance companies should not be held liable for estate taxes unless they fall squarely within one of the enumerated categories. This ruling protects insurance companies from unexpected tax liabilities and ensures that the beneficiaries, not the insurers, are primarily responsible for any estate tax obligations related to the insurance proceeds. Subsequent cases would need to examine whether an insurer's actions, beyond merely holding proceeds, could create transferee liability under other provisions of the Code.