

## **18 T.C. 1025 (1952)**

A taxpayer receiving special relief for excess profits tax under Section 722 of the Internal Revenue Code is still entitled to a net loss carryover deduction; the constructive income calculation under Section 722 is independent of the net loss deduction.

### **Summary**

Nivison-Weiskopf Co. sought relief from excess profits tax under Section 722 of the Internal Revenue Code, which allowed for a constructive average base period net income. The Commissioner reduced the constructive income based on a net loss carryover from an actual loss year, citing E.P.C. 29. The Tax Court held that the taxpayer was entitled to compute its credit on the constructive average base period net income applicable to all excess profits tax years, regardless of actual losses in the base period. The Court reasoned that Section 722 relief and net loss carryover deductions are distinct provisions, and the taxpayer should not be forced to forego one to benefit from the other.

### **Facts**

The petitioner, Nivison-Weiskopf Co., applied for relief from excess profits tax under Section 722, which allows taxpayers to calculate a constructive average base period net income if their actual base period income was depressed due to specific factors. The Commissioner determined the petitioner's constructive average base period net income to be \$50,834.72. However, the Commissioner then reduced this amount to \$12,493.48 based on a net operating loss deduction, applying a ruling known as E.P.C. 29. This net operating loss deduction stemmed from an actual loss sustained by the petitioner in one of the base period years. The Commissioner contended that allowing both the Section 722 relief and the net loss carryover would result in a double benefit for the taxpayer.

### **Procedural History**

The Commissioner partially denied the petitioner's application for relief under Section 722 for the 1942 and 1945 tax years. The petitioner challenged this denial in the Tax Court. The Commissioner conceded the issue for 1945, leaving only the 1942 tax year in dispute. The core issue was the propriety of applying E.P.C. 29 to reduce the petitioner's constructive base period net income.

### **Issue(s)**

Whether the Commissioner erred in reducing the petitioner's constructive average base period net income under Section 722 by applying E.P.C. 29, which effectively neutralized the benefit of a net loss carryover deduction.

### **Holding**

No, the Commissioner erred. The Court held that the taxpayer was entitled to both the constructive average base period net income under Section 722 and the net loss carryover deduction because these are distinct provisions, and the taxpayer is entitled to both if eligible.

### **Court's Reasoning**

The Tax Court reasoned that Section 722 and the net loss carryover provisions are distinct and independently applicable. There was no indication in the statute that special relief under Section 722 was intended to require foregoing the net loss carryover. The court emphasized that the constructive base period income is an “average” intended to be applied as a credit in lieu of actual base period income for each excess profits tax year. Adjusting it based on the special circumstances of one year (e.g., the presence of a net loss) contradicts this fundamental principle. The court also pointed out that E.P.C. 29 was merely a ruling, not a regulation, and thus did not carry the same weight of authority. The court noted that the Section 722 figure is a “constructive” or imaginary amount and that it was unnecessary to reconcile actual losses with the constructive average base period income under Section 722. The court explicitly rejected the Commissioner’s attempt to neutralize the net loss carryover, stating, “In attempting thus to neutralize the net loss carry-over we think the Council and respondent are in error.”

### **Practical Implications**

This case clarifies that taxpayers receiving relief under Section 722 are not automatically precluded from also claiming a net loss carryover deduction. It confirms that these are separate and distinct benefits under the tax code. The ruling invalidates the approach outlined in E.P.C. 29, preventing the IRS from reducing constructive income in Section 722 cases based on net loss carryovers. This provides more certainty for taxpayers seeking relief under Section 722. Legal professionals should cite this case when arguing that Section 722 relief and net loss deductions are independent benefits, particularly in cases involving excess profits taxes or similar tax relief provisions. The case emphasizes the importance of understanding the distinct purposes and applications of different sections of the tax code and cautions against creating rules that effectively nullify statutory benefits.