18 T.C. 674 (1952)

Life insurance proceeds are generally excluded from gross income, but when a policy is transferred for valuable consideration, the exclusion is limited to the actual value of the consideration and the amount of premiums subsequently paid by the transferee.

Summary

Desks, Inc. agreed to pay premiums on a life insurance policy assigned to Standard Furniture Co. to induce Standard to furnish merchandise on credit. The policy insured the life of Desks, Inc.'s president, Chauvin, who had previously been associated with a bankrupt company indebted to Standard. Upon Chauvin's death, Standard remitted a portion of the insurance proceeds to Desks, Inc. The Tax Court held that Desks, Inc. could not deduct the premium payments because it was indirectly a beneficiary of the policy. However, the court also determined that the insurance proceeds received by Desks, Inc. were not taxable income because the premiums it paid exceeded the amount it received.

Facts

Hale Desk Co., Inc. became indebted to Standard Furniture Company for $60,102.14. Hale assigned a $60,000 life insurance policy on its president, Chauvin, to Standard. Hale subsequently filed for bankruptcy. Desks, Inc., formed by Chauvin and other Hale employees, entered into an agreement with Standard to pay the premiums and interest on the insurance policy to induce Standard to provide merchandise on credit. Later, Standard agreed to remit to Desks, Inc. any insurance proceeds exceeding Hale's remaining debt to Standard. Chauvin died, and Standard remitted $12,151.33 to Desks, Inc., representing the excess proceeds.

Procedural History

The Commissioner of Internal Revenue determined deficiencies in Desks, Inc.'s income tax for the fiscal years ending June 30, 1946, and June 30, 1947, disallowing deductions for life insurance premiums and including the $12,151.33 received from Standard as taxable income. Desks, Inc. petitioned the Tax Court for a redetermination of these deficiencies.

Issue(s)

1. Whether the life insurance premiums paid by Desks, Inc. are deductible as ordinary and necessary business expenses under Section 23(a) of the Internal Revenue Code.

2. Whether the $12,151.33 received by Desks, Inc. from the insurance proceeds is taxable income.

© 2025 SCOTUSreports.com. All rights reserved.

Holding

1. No, because Section 24(a)(4) of the Internal Revenue Code prohibits deductions for premiums paid on a life insurance policy when the taxpayer is directly or indirectly a beneficiary under such policy.

2. No, because under Section 22(b)(2) of the Internal Revenue Code, the proceeds of a life insurance policy are includible in gross income only to the extent that they exceed the consideration paid for the transfer of the policy and the premiums subsequently paid, and in this case, the premiums paid by Desks, Inc. exceeded the amount it received.

Court's Reasoning

Regarding the premium deductions, the court reasoned that even if the premiums were ordinary and necessary business expenses, Section 24(a)(4) disallows the deduction because Desks, Inc. was a beneficiary of the policy through its agreement with Standard. The court cited *J.H. Parker, 13 B.T.A. 115*, and *Rieck v. Heiner, 25 F.2d 453*, to support the principle that premiums are not deductible even if the taxpayer's beneficiary status is indirect or contingent.

Regarding the insurance proceeds, the court determined that the $12,151.33 was not a gift because Standard did not intend it as such. However, the court also considered Section 22(b)(2), which provides an exclusion for life insurance proceeds, except in cases of transfer for valuable consideration. Since Desks, Inc. had a contractual right to the insurance proceeds, the court analyzed whether the proceeds exceeded the consideration paid. The court cited *Stroud & Co., 45 B.T.A. 862*, stating that, "The respondent has added to the net proceeds of the policies, after deducting their cost, the sum of $6,120.64 representing premiums paid by the New Jersey company during 1932 to 1935, inclusive, and also $149.18, so paid by it in 1936. Apparently he seeks to justify his action on the ground that such amounts were claimed and allowed as deductions in previous years…We find no statutory authority for respondent's action in adding the premiums to petitioner's gross income. Section 22(b)(2) specifically states that the actual value of the consideration and the amount of premiums and other sums subsequently paid by the transferee shall be exempt under section 22(b)(1)." The court concluded that the premiums paid by Desks, Inc. exceeded the proceeds received, thus no portion of the $12,151.33 was includible in Desks, Inc.'s income.

Practical Implications

This case illustrates the interplay between different sections of the Internal Revenue Code regarding life insurance. It highlights that even if a payment appears to be a business expense, it may be non-deductible if it falls under a specific disallowance provision. Moreover, it reinforces the principle that previously deducted amounts do not automatically become taxable income when related proceeds are received,

© 2025 SCOTUSreports.com. All rights reserved.

particularly concerning life insurance proceeds. This case informs tax practitioners to carefully analyze the specific circumstances of life insurance arrangements, including who the beneficiaries are and what consideration was exchanged for the policy, to determine the correct tax treatment.

© 2025 SCOTUSreports.com. All rights reserved.