

***Estate of Samuel L. Grace, Deceased, The Nashville Trust Company, Executor, Petitioner, v. Commissioner of Internal Revenue, Respondent, 1952 WL 101 (T.C.)***

A partnership agreement restricting the value of a deceased partner's interest by excluding goodwill is not binding on the Commissioner of Internal Revenue when determining the value of the interest for estate tax purposes.

### **Summary**

The Tax Court addressed the valuation of a deceased partner's interest in a business partnership for estate tax purposes, specifically focusing on whether goodwill should be included despite a partnership agreement stating otherwise. The Commissioner argued for a higher valuation including goodwill, while the estate argued the agreement limited the value. The court held that the partnership agreement was not binding on the Commissioner and determined the value of the partnership interest, including goodwill, based on various factors, ultimately settling on a value lower than the Commissioner's initial assessment.

### **Facts**

Samuel L. Grace (the decedent) was a partner in a business known as "Grace's." The partnership agreement contained a clause stating that upon the death of a partner, the surviving partner could buy out the deceased partner's interest at its book value, excluding any value for goodwill. The Commissioner determined a deficiency in the estate tax, valuing the decedent's partnership interest higher than the book value, including an amount for goodwill, based on the business's tangible assets and earnings history. The estate challenged this valuation, arguing the partnership agreement should control.

### **Procedural History**

The Commissioner of Internal Revenue determined a deficiency in the estate tax. The Nashville Trust Company, as executor of the estate, petitioned the Tax Court for a redetermination of the deficiency. The case proceeded to trial, where evidence was presented regarding the valuation of the partnership interest.

### **Issue(s)**

Whether the value of the decedent's partnership interest in a business partnership should be increased by adding an amount for "goodwill" to the book value of the partnership interest for estate tax purposes, despite a provision in the partnership agreement excluding goodwill in the event of a partner's death.

### **Holding**

No, the partnership agreement is not binding on the Commissioner. The value of the

decedent's interest at the time of his death in the partnership business should include goodwill, but in this case, it should be valued at \$45,000, not \$55,000 as initially determined by the Commissioner because the Commissioner is not bound by the restrictive valuation in the partnership agreement, but the final valuation was lower than the initial determination.

### **Court's Reasoning**

The court reasoned that while the partnership agreement might be binding between the partners themselves, it does not restrict the government's right to collect taxes based on the actual value of the asset. The court cited *City Bank Farmers Trust Co., Executor*, 23 B. T. A. 663, for the proposition that parties cannot restrict the government's ability to tax the actual value of stock through contractual restrictions on sale price. The court considered factors such as the earning record of the business, its location, reputation, clientele, quality of merchandise, advertising, and public esteem to determine the value of the goodwill. Ultimately, the court determined a value for the decedent's partnership interest, including goodwill, that was lower than the Commissioner's original assessment but higher than the book value dictated by the partnership agreement.

### **Practical Implications**

This case clarifies that contractual agreements among partners or shareholders to restrict the value of assets for buy-sell purposes are not binding on the IRS for estate tax valuation. Attorneys must advise clients that such agreements, while useful for internal business arrangements, will not necessarily control the valuation for estate tax purposes. When valuing business interests for estate tax purposes, the IRS and the courts will consider all relevant factors, including goodwill, regardless of restrictive agreements. Later cases have cited this ruling to support the principle that the IRS can look beyond contractual restrictions to determine the fair market value of assets for tax purposes.