18 T.C. 381 (1952)

When payments made under an annuity contract, entered into for profit, exceed the consideration received for the agreement to make those payments, the excess is deductible as a loss under Section 23(e)(2) of the Internal Revenue Code.

Summary

Donald Sheridan and his uncle purchased property from Donald's aunt, Irene Collord, with a mortgage. Later, Collord released part of the mortgage in exchange for annuity payments. Sheridan sought to deduct payments exceeding the consideration received for the annuity contract. The Tax Court held that because the annuity contract was entered into for profit and was separate from the original property sale, payments exceeding the initial consideration were deductible as a loss under Section 23(e)(2) of the Internal Revenue Code.

Facts

Donald Sheridan and his uncle acquired property from Donald's aunt, Irene Collord, in 1926, giving her a $100,000 mortgage. In 1935, Collord released $60,000 of the mortgage in exchange for Donald and his uncle's promise to pay her $7,000 annually for life. Collord gifted the remaining $40,000 of the mortgage. Donald claimed interest deductions related to these payments in 1943 and 1944. In 1945, Donald paid Collord $3,500 and sought to deduct the amount exceeding his share of the mortgage release ($30,000).

Procedural History

The Commissioner of Internal Revenue disallowed the claimed deduction, resulting in a tax deficiency. Sheridan petitioned the Tax Court, seeking an overpayment, arguing that his annuity payments exceeded the consideration he received, thus constituting a deductible loss.

Issue(s)

Whether the excess of annuity payments made by Donald Sheridan over the consideration he received for the annuity agreement constitutes a deductible loss under Section 23(e)(2) of the Internal Revenue Code, as a loss incurred in a transaction entered into for profit.

Holding

Yes, because the annuity contract was a separate transaction entered into for profit, and the payments exceeding the initial consideration constituted a deductible loss under Section 23(e)(2) of the Internal Revenue Code.

Court's Reasoning

© 2025 SCOTUSreports.com. All rights reserved.

The court reasoned that the 1935 agreement was a separate annuity contract, not an adjustment to the original 1926 property sale. The court emphasized that Collord sought the annuity agreement for tax savings and that the value of the annuity contract was approximately equal to the $60,000 mortgage debt released. The court referenced I.T. 1242, stating, “When the total amount paid (by the payor under an annuity contract) equals the principal sum paid to the taxpayer, the installments thereafter paid by him will be deductible as a business expense in case he is engaged in the trade or business of writing annuities; otherwise they may be deducted as a loss, provided the transaction was entered into for profit.” The court found that Sheridan entered the annuity agreement for profit, as he stood to gain if his aunt died before the payments totaled $30,000. Therefore, payments exceeding that amount were deductible as a loss under Section 23(e)(2).

Practical Implications

This case clarifies that annuity contracts, when entered into for profit, are treated as separate transactions from any underlying property sales. Taxpayers making annuity payments can deduct amounts exceeding the initial consideration received, provided they can demonstrate a profit motive. This ruling affects how tax professionals analyze annuity contracts and advise clients on potential deductions related to such agreements. Later cases would need to distinguish situations where an annuity is clearly tied to an original sale, potentially negating the ability to deduct payments exceeding the initial consideration.

© 2025 SCOTUSreports.com. All rights reserved.