

## ***Weil Clothing Co. v. Commissioner, 13 T.C. 873 (1949)***

Contributions to an employee benefit trust, structured exclusively for charitable purposes like aiding employees in need, are deductible as charitable contributions under Section 23(q) of the Internal Revenue Code, subject to the 5% limitation.

### **Summary**

Weil Clothing Co. sought to deduct contributions made to its Employees' Benefit Trust. The IRS argued that the trust was a profit-sharing or pension plan, deductible only under Section 23(p), which limited such deductions. Weil contended the trust was exclusively charitable and thus deductible under Section 23(q). The Tax Court held that because the trust's primary purpose was social welfare and aiding employees in need, it qualified as a charitable trust under Section 23(q), and the contributions were deductible as charitable contributions.

### **Facts**

Weil Clothing Co. established an Employees' Benefit Trust to provide financial assistance to employees facing hardship due to sickness, disability, unemployment, or family emergencies. The trust's funds were used solely for these purposes. The trust was irrevocable, and in the event of termination, its assets were to be distributed to non-profit organizations with similar charitable objectives. The IRS had consistently recognized the trust as a tax-exempt organization under Section 101(8) of the Internal Revenue Code.

### **Procedural History**

Weil Clothing Co. deducted the contributions to the trust on its tax return. The Commissioner of Internal Revenue disallowed the deductions. Weil Clothing Co. then petitioned the Tax Court for a redetermination of the tax deficiency.

### **Issue(s)**

Whether the contributions made by Weil Clothing Co. to its Employees' Benefit Trust are deductible as ordinary and necessary business expenses under Section 23(a)(1)(A) or as charitable contributions under Section 23(q) of the Internal Revenue Code.

### **Holding**

Yes, because the Employees' Benefit Trust was organized and operated exclusively for charitable purposes, making the contributions deductible under Section 23(q) of the Internal Revenue Code, subject to the 5% limitation.

### **Court's Reasoning**

The court determined that the trust's primary purpose was to promote the social welfare of Weil's employees, which aligns with charitable purposes. The court referenced Treasury Regulations 111, section 29.23(p)-1, stating that Section 23(p) does not apply to plans that are "primarily a dismissal wage, or unemployment benefit plan or a sickness, accident, hospitalization, medical expense, recreational, welfare, or similar benefit plan, or a combination thereof." The court emphasized that the trust was not revocable and its assets could not revert to Weil Clothing Co. The court cited *John R. Sibley et al., Executors*, 16 B. T. A. 915, holding that contributions to a corporation formed to aid employees were deductible as charitable contributions. Since Section 23(q) grants corporations the right to deduct charitable contributions similarly to individuals under Section 23(o), the court found the rationale of *Sibley* applicable. The court concluded that the deductions were contributions to a charitable trust within the meaning of Section 23(q) and were deductible to the extent they did not exceed the 5% limitation.

### **Practical Implications**

This case provides guidance on the deductibility of contributions to employee benefit trusts. It clarifies that if a trust is genuinely structured and operated for charitable purposes, such as providing aid to employees in need, contributions can be deducted as charitable contributions rather than under stricter pension or profit-sharing plan rules. Attorneys advising businesses on employee benefit plans should carefully examine the trust's governing documents and operational practices to ensure they align with charitable purposes to maximize potential deductions. This case highlights the importance of establishing a clear charitable purpose in the trust documents to ensure favorable tax treatment. Later cases may distinguish this ruling based on factual differences showing the trust benefits were not primarily charitable.