17 T.C. 1551

A substantial and permanent improvement to a business's operational capacity, such as a technologically advanced antenna installation for a radio station, can constitute a 'change in the character of the business' under Section 722(b)(4) of the Internal Revenue Code, entitling the business to excess profits tax relief if its base period earnings are an inadequate measure of normal earnings due to this change.

Summary

Southland Industries, Inc., operating radio station WOAI, sought relief from excess profits tax under Section 722(b)(4) of the Internal Revenue Code, arguing that the installation of a new, highly efficient antenna during the base period constituted a change in the character of its business. The Tax Court considered whether this upgrade, which significantly improved broadcast coverage and subsequently advertising revenue, qualified as such a change. The court held that the antenna installation was indeed a change in the character of business, entitling Southland to relief because it substantially increased operational capacity and normal earning potential beyond what was reflected in the base period.

Facts

Southland Industries, Inc. operated radio station WOAI in San Antonio, Texas.

In 1930, WOAI erected a T-type antenna which proved inefficient, limiting its broadcast coverage.

In 1937, after consulting engineers and observing the success of a similar antenna at station WJZ, WOAI installed a new, single vertical radiator antenna, a relatively new technology at the time.

This new antenna significantly improved WOAI's broadcast coverage area, both day and night, effectively tripling its radiated power compared to the old antenna.

Following the installation, WOAI conducted surveys demonstrating increased coverage and, based on these improvements, negotiated a rate increase with NBC, its network affiliate, effective October 1939.

Due to industry practice of rate protection for existing advertisers, the full financial benefit of the rate increase was delayed, extending beyond the base period (pre-1940).

Southland applied for relief from excess profits tax for fiscal years 1941-1946, arguing the antenna upgrade changed its business character and base period earnings did not reflect normal potential.

Procedural History

© 2025 SCOTUSreports.com. All rights reserved.

Southland Industries, Inc. filed applications for relief under Section 722 of the Internal Revenue Code for fiscal years 1941-1946.

The Commissioner of Internal Revenue disallowed these applications.

Southland Industries, Inc. petitioned the Tax Court for review of the Commissioner's decision.

The Tax Court consolidated the proceedings for hearing and issued its opinion.

Issue(s)

1. Whether the installation of a vertical radiator-type antenna by WOAI radio station constituted a change in the character of its business within the meaning of Section 722(b)(4) of the Internal Revenue Code.

2. Whether, if a change in business character occurred, Southland Industries was entitled to relief under Section 722(b)(4) because its average base period net income was an inadequate standard of normal earnings.

Holding

1. Yes, the installation of the new antenna was a change in the character of the business because it represented a substantial and permanent improvement in WOAI's operational capacity, specifically its broadcast coverage and effective radiated power.

2. Yes, Southland Industries was entitled to relief because the change in business character meant its base period net income did not reflect its normal earning capacity, as the full financial benefits of the antenna upgrade were delayed beyond the base period due to rate increase implementation timelines.

Court's Reasoning

The Tax Court reasoned that Section 722(b)(4) provides relief when a taxpayer's base period net income is an inadequate standard of normal earnings due to a change in the character of the business, including a difference in the capacity for production or operation.

The court distinguished routine technological improvements from substantial changes, stating, "*To qualify for relief petitioner must show that the erection of the new antenna was a change of substantial, of a permanent or lasting nature, and not of a routine character.*"

It found the antenna installation to be a substantial change, noting:

– The significant increase in effective radiated power (150% increase) and broadcast

© 2025 SCOTUSreports.com. All rights reserved.

coverage area.

– The novelty of the technology at the time, making WOAI a pioneer in its region.

– The requirement for FCC and Bureau of Air Commerce approval, indicating a non-routine alteration.

– The direct link between the improved coverage and the subsequent increase in advertising rates, although the full financial effect was delayed.

The court directly quoted NBC's vice president to explain the time lag between antenna installation and revenue increase: "*It takes a considerable length of time for listeners to change their habits… It takes anywhere from six months to a year to accomplish that.*"

Because of this time lag and the rate protection policy, the court concluded that WOAI's income by the end of the base period did not reflect the earning level it would have reached had the change occurred earlier. Therefore, the base period income was an inadequate measure of normal earnings, justifying relief under Section 722.

Practical Implications

Southland Industries provides a practical example of how capital improvements that substantially enhance a business's operational capacity can be recognized as a 'change in the character of the business' for tax purposes, specifically in the context of excess profits tax relief under older tax codes like Section 722. While Section 722 is no longer applicable, the case illustrates a principle that could be relevant in interpreting similar provisions in other tax laws or regulations that consider changes in business operations or capacity.

For legal professionals and tax advisors, this case highlights the importance of demonstrating a direct nexus between a significant business change and its impact on earnings, especially when seeking tax relief based on the inadequacy of standard base period income measures. It emphasizes that 'change in character' is not limited to changes in the type of goods or services offered, but can also encompass substantial improvements in the means of production or service delivery.

The case also underscores the need to consider industry-specific factors, such as advertising rate structures and listener habit changes in the broadcasting industry, when assessing the financial impact and timing of business changes for tax purposes. Later cases would need to consider analogous factors in different industries when applying similar 'change in business character' arguments.

© 2025 SCOTUSreports.com. All rights reserved.