17 T.C. 1347 (1952)

For income averaging purposes under Section 107 of the Internal Revenue Code, legal services performed over a continuous period for the same client are generally treated as a single project, and interim billings do not create separate periods of service.

Summary

Alfred Loew, an attorney, sought to apply Section 107 of the Internal Revenue Code to legal fees received in 1944. He argued that the fees, representing compensation for services rendered to an estate from 1940-1944, should be treated separately from fees received for earlier services. The Tax Court disagreed, holding that the services were continuous and related to the same overall employment. Because the 1944 fees did not constitute 80% of the total compensation received for his services to the estate, Loew could not use Section 107 income averaging.

Facts

Loew served as an attorney for the executors of an estate from January 1939 to June 1944.
In October 1940, he petitioned the Surrogate of Nassau County for compensation for services rendered up to that point and requested $6,000.
In February 1941, the Surrogate directed the executors to pay Loew $5,000 for those services, which he received.
Loew continued providing legal services to the estate. In April 1944, he filed a second petition requesting $3,500 for services rendered since October 1940.
In June 1944, the Surrogate decreed that the executors pay Loew $3,500, representing the balance of his services to that date, which Loew received in 1944.

Procedural History

Loew claimed that the $3,500 received in 1944 qualified for income averaging under Section 107 of the Internal Revenue Code.
The Commissioner of Internal Revenue determined a deficiency, arguing that the fees did not meet the requirements of Section 107.
Loew petitioned the Tax Court to contest the deficiency.

Issue(s)

Whether the legal services performed by Loew from October 7, 1940, to April 4, 1944, should be treated separately from services performed earlier, allowing the $3,500 fee to qualify for income averaging under Section 107 of the Internal Revenue Code.

Holding

© 2025 SCOTUSreports.com. All rights reserved.

No, because Loew's services were continuous and related to the same overall employment as attorney for the estate, and the $3,500 received in 1944 did not constitute 80% of the total compensation received for his services to the estate.

Court's Reasoning

The court reasoned that Loew's services were "of a homogeneous nature and covering a continuous period." Filing interim petitions for fees did not create separate periods of service. The court cited *Ralph E. Lum, 12 T.C. 375*, stating that "it takes more than the rendering of an account to mark their end." Loew was employed as the attorney for the executors from 1939 through April 1944 and handled whatever legal matters arose during that period, regardless of when he billed for the services. The court determined that the $3,500 received in 1944 did not amount to 80% of the total compensation he received as the estate's attorney; therefore, he was not entitled to the benefits of Section 107.

Practical Implications

This case clarifies how Section 107 applies to legal fees received for services rendered over an extended period. Attorneys cannot artificially divide a continuous period of service into smaller segments simply by submitting interim bills. To qualify for income averaging under Section 107, the fees received in a particular year must constitute at least 80% of the total compensation for the entire project. This ruling affects how attorneys structure their billing practices when they seek the benefits of income averaging for long-term engagements. Later cases have cited Loew to reinforce the principle that the continuity of service, rather than billing intervals, is the determining factor when applying Section 107. The case emphasizes the importance of documenting the scope and duration of legal services to properly assess eligibility for income averaging.

© 2025 SCOTUSreports.com. All rights reserved.