17 T.C. 1317 (1952)

A grantor's transfer of property to an irrevocable trust is not includable in their gross estate if the grantor did not retain an enforceable right to have the trust income applied to discharge their legal obligation to support a beneficiary.

Summary

Arthur S. Dwight created two trusts for his wife, Anne. The IRS argued the trust corpora should be included in Dwight's gross estate because he retained the right to have the income used for his wife's support, satisfying his legal obligation. The Tax Court disagreed, holding that the trust instruments did not grant Dwight an enforceable right to control how the income was spent. The court emphasized that the language in the trust instruments indicating that distributions were for support and maintenance merely stated Dwight's motive and did not create an enforceable right.

Facts

Arthur S. Dwight married Anne Howard Chapin, who had six children from a prior marriage. Dwight created two trusts: The first in 1931, benefiting Anne and her children, and the second in 1935, benefiting Anne for life, with the remainder to her children and two of Dwight's relatives. The trust indentures directed the trustee to pay income to the beneficiaries for their "support and maintenance." Dwight paid gift tax on the 1935 trust. During their marriage, Dwight paid all the expenses of their primary home, while Anne used the trust income for her personal expenses.

Procedural History

The Commissioner of Internal Revenue determined an estate tax deficiency, increasing the value of Dwight's gross estate by including the corpora of the two trusts. Dwight's estate petitioned the Tax Court, contesting this adjustment, arguing that the trusts should not be included in his gross estate. The Tax Court ruled in favor of the estate, holding that the trusts were not includable in the gross estate.

Issue(s)

Whether the value of the corpora of two trusts created by the decedent is includable in his gross estate because he retained the enjoyment of the transferred property or the income therefrom during his lifetime under applicable provisions of internal revenue law.

Holding

No, because the decedent did not retain the right to have income from the trusts applied towards his legal obligation to support his wife, and therefore, no part of the value of either of the two trusts is includible in the value of the decedent's gross

© 2025 SCOTUSreports.com. All rights reserved.

estate.

Court’s Reasoning

The court reasoned that including the trust corpora in Dwight’s estate required that he retained an enforceable right to have the income applied towards his wife’s support. The court analyzed the trust instruments, noting that the trusts were irrevocable, the trustee was a third party, and Dwight retained no control over the trustee. The court emphasized that the trust instruments lacked provisions allowing the trustee to withhold income if Anne failed to use it for support or to directly pay her expenses. The phrase “for the support and maintenance” was viewed as merely expressing Dwight’s motive or desire in creating the trusts, not as creating an enforceable right. The court distinguished cases like *Helvering v. Mercantile-Commerce Bank & Trust Co.*, where the trust instrument explicitly dictated how the income was to be used and provided mechanisms for ensuring compliance. The dissenting judge argued that dedicating trust income to the wife’s support discharged Dwight’s legal obligation, effectively retaining the enjoyment of the income.

Practical Implications

Estate of Dwight clarifies that merely stating the purpose of a trust distribution as “support and maintenance” does not automatically trigger inclusion of the trust assets in the grantor’s estate. To trigger inclusion, the grantor must retain an enforceable right to control how the income is used to satisfy their legal obligations. This case underscores the importance of carefully drafting trust instruments to avoid retaining impermissible control or benefits. Later cases have cited *Dwight* to emphasize the requirement of an enforceable right and to distinguish situations where the grantor’s control over trust income is too attenuated to justify inclusion in the gross estate. This provides a helpful data point for estate planners designing trusts where beneficiaries are also dependents of the grantor.

© 2025 SCOTUSreports.com. All rights reserved.