

17 T.C. 1293 (1952)

Gifts made by a decedent well in advance of death are not considered to be made in contemplation of death if the dominant motives for the gifts were associated with life rather than death, such as relieving the donor of responsibilities or establishing beneficiaries with independent competencies.

Summary

The Tax Court addressed whether gifts made by the decedent nearly four years before his death should be included in his gross estate as transfers made in contemplation of death under Section 811(c) of the Internal Revenue Code. The court held that the gifts were not made in contemplation of death because the decedent's primary motives were associated with life, such as reducing his income tax liability and providing financial independence to his children. The court also addressed the deductibility of attorney fees incurred during a trust accounting proceeding following the decedent's death, allowing a deduction for fees related to standard accounting issues but disallowing fees related to litigation involving undue influence.

Facts

Stephen Peabody made gifts of securities to his three children on April 21, 1941, valued at \$207,427 at the time. Peabody was 83 years old at the time of the gifts and died nearly four years later, on January 6, 1945, at the age of 86. He had suffered a cerebral accident in 1938 but recovered substantially. Peabody discussed the gifts with his attorney to determine the income tax savings he would realize and told his children that he was making the gifts so that they could enjoy the income during his lifetime and that he would no longer feel obligated to provide them with financial assistance. After making the gifts, Peabody retained significant assets and income. Three years after making the gifts, Peabody suffered a cerebral hemorrhage in July 1944 and his health declined until his death in January 1945.

Procedural History

The Commissioner of Internal Revenue determined a deficiency in Peabody's estate tax, including the value of the gifts made in 1941 in the gross estate, arguing they were made in contemplation of death. The executors of Peabody's estate petitioned the Tax Court for a redetermination of the deficiency. The Tax Court consolidated the proceedings. The petitioners conceded the inclusion of the trust created in 1926.

Issue(s)

1. Whether the gifts made by the decedent on April 21, 1941, should be included in his gross estate as transfers made in contemplation of death under Section 811(c) of the Internal Revenue Code.

2. Whether attorney fees and guardian fees incurred in a trust accounting proceeding necessitated by the decedent's death are deductible as administrative expenses or in diminution of the gross estate.

Holding

1. No, because the gifts were motivated by life-associated purposes, such as income tax reduction and providing financial independence to his children, and were not testamentary in nature.

2. Yes, in part. Such portion of the fees as were properly allocable to the usual issues involved in a trust accounting are deductible from decedent's gross estate. However, fees incurred due to litigation of issues involving undue influence upon decedent and fraud are not deductible.

Court's Reasoning

The court relied on *United States v. Wells*, 283 U.S. 102 (1931), which defined "contemplation of death" as a particular concern giving rise to a definite motive that leads to testamentary disposition. The court found that Peabody's gifts were primarily motivated by factors associated with life: reducing his income tax liability, providing his children with independent income, and avoiding future requests for financial assistance. The court noted that Peabody was a rugged, healthy man who took an active interest in his affairs. Regarding the attorney fees, the court followed *Haggart's Estate v. Commissioner*, 182 F.2d 514 (3d Cir. 1950), and *Elroy N. Clark et al., Trustees*, 1 T.C. 663, allowing a deduction for fees related to the routine trust accounting required by the decedent's death and the succession of trustees. The court distinguished fees incurred due to litigation to settle issues which arose outside the usual scope of an accounting proceeding.

Practical Implications

This case clarifies the application of the "contemplation of death" provision in estate tax law. It demonstrates that gifts made well in advance of death are less likely to be considered testamentary if the donor had lifetime motives for making them. Attorneys should gather evidence of the donor's health, age, and motivations at the time of the gift, focusing on life-associated purposes. The case also highlights the deductibility of trust administration expenses, particularly those related to required accountings, but distinguishes expenses incurred in adversarial litigation among beneficiaries. This decision impacts estate planning by emphasizing the importance of documenting the donor's intent and motivations for making inter vivos gifts. It also provides guidance on the deductibility of expenses related to trust administration and litigation, influencing how estates are valued and taxes are assessed.