

## **14 T.C. 1410 (1950)**

Traveling expenses, exclusive of meals, are deductible from gross income whether the taxpayer is an independent contractor or an employee, and this deduction is permissible in addition to the standard deduction.

### **Summary**

The Tax Court addressed whether a taxpayer could deduct travel expenses from gross income to arrive at adjusted gross income, in addition to taking the standard deduction. The court held that stipulated travel expenses (exclusive of meals) are deductible from gross income regardless of whether the taxpayer is an independent contractor or an employee. However, the court disallowed additional claimed expenses due to the taxpayer's failure to substantiate them sufficiently.

### **Facts**

Robert C. Coffey claimed deductions for travel expenses. The IRS disallowed certain deductions. Coffey petitioned the Tax Court, claiming that the expenses were deductible. The parties stipulated that at least \$892.17 of the claimed expenses were for traveling expenses, exclusive of meals. Additional deductions were claimed for other expenses, including increased travel expenses, miscellaneous expenditures, meals, and entertainment.

### **Procedural History**

The Commissioner of Internal Revenue issued a deficiency notice disallowing certain deductions claimed by Coffey. Coffey petitioned the Tax Court for a redetermination of the deficiency. The case was heard by the Tax Court, which rendered its decision.

### **Issue(s)**

1. Whether traveling expenses, exclusive of meals, may be deducted from gross income to arrive at adjusted gross income, in addition to the optional standard deduction.
2. Whether the taxpayer adequately substantiated additional claimed expenses for travel, miscellaneous items, meals, and entertainment.

### **Holding**

1. Yes, because Section 22(n) of the Internal Revenue Code allows for the deduction of trade or business expenses (for independent contractors) or travel expenses (for employees) from gross income to arrive at adjusted gross income, and this deduction is separate from the standard deduction under Section 23.
2. No, because the taxpayer failed to provide sufficient evidence to substantiate that the additional claimed expenses were actually incurred or deductible

under any relevant provision of the Internal Revenue Code.

### **Court's Reasoning**

The court reasoned that Section 22(n)(1) covers expenses of an independent contractor, while Section 22(n)(2) covers the traveling expenses of an employee. The court stated, "It hence seems beyond dispute that whether or not petitioner was an employee, he was unquestionably entitled to reduce his gross income by the amount of the stipulated traveling expenses, without interfering with the deductions otherwise permitted by section 23." Regarding the additional claimed expenses, the court found that the taxpayer did not provide adequate documentation or testimony to support their deductibility. For instance, the court noted the lack of specific statements linking the entertainment expenses to deductible business activities. The court emphasized that the taxpayer bears the burden of proving their entitlement to deductions.

### **Practical Implications**

This case clarifies that taxpayers can deduct legitimate travel expenses from their gross income when calculating their adjusted gross income, irrespective of whether they are classified as employees or independent contractors. This deduction is allowed in addition to the standard deduction. However, taxpayers must maintain thorough records and be prepared to substantiate all claimed deductions with credible evidence. The decision underscores the importance of detailed record-keeping and the taxpayer's burden of proof in tax matters. It also highlights that deductions for items like meals and entertainment require a clear connection to deductible business activities to be allowed.