## 17 T.C. 688 (1951)

A cooperative can exclude patronage dividends from its gross income if it has a preexisting legal obligation, established by its charter, bylaws, and contracts with members, to distribute those earnings to its members, even if the distribution is in the form of certificates of interest rather than cash.

#### Summary

Colony Farms Cooperative Dairy, Inc. sought to exclude certain earnings from its gross income, arguing that these amounts represented patronage dividends distributed to its members. The Tax Court considered whether the cooperative was legally obligated to distribute these earnings to its members. The court held that because the cooperative's charter, bylaws, and member contracts created a pre-existing legal obligation to distribute the earnings, even in the form of certificates of interest, the amounts were properly excluded from the cooperative's gross income. This obligation distinguished the case from situations where distributions were discretionary.

#### Facts

Colony Farms Cooperative Dairy, Inc. was organized under the Virginia Cooperative Marketing Act. The cooperative's charter stated that members' property rights would be proportional to the business they conducted through the association, as evidenced by certificates of interest. The bylaws mandated that surplus earnings from member business be computed annually and set aside in a revolving fund, with certificates of interest issued to members. The cooperative entered into contracts with its members requiring them to sell their milk to the cooperative, which could retain proceeds to cover expenses and reserves. In the tax years 1943 and 1944, approximately 37% of the milk processed came from members. At the end of each year, the cooperative calculated net revenue attributable to member sales and allocated those amounts to a "Reserve for Members' Equity." Certificates of interest were issued to the members.

### **Procedural History**

The Commissioner of Internal Revenue determined deficiencies in Colony Farms' income, excess profits, and declared value excess-profits taxes for the fiscal years ending June 30, 1943 and 1944. The Commissioner added back into income the amounts that Colony Farms had excluded as patronage dividends. Colony Farms petitioned the Tax Court, contesting the Commissioner's determination.

### Issue(s)

Whether Colony Farms Cooperative Dairy, Inc. was entitled to exclude from its gross income the earnings upon business done for its members, where under its charter, bylaws, and marketing contracts with its members, such profits were segregated for

return to such members in the form of patronage dividends.

# Holding

Yes, because Colony Farms operated under a pre-existing legal obligation, established by its charter, bylaws, and contracts with members, to distribute earnings from member business, even in the form of certificates of interest.

## **Court's Reasoning**

The Tax Court reasoned that the determinative factor was whether the cooperative was under a legal obligation to pay the earnings over to its members as patronage dividends at the time it received those earnings. The court emphasized that such an obligation need not involve cash payments; retaining the cash for business use and distributing certificates of interest was sufficient. The court noted that Colony Farms' charter, bylaws, and contracts with its members established a clear obligation to issue certificates of interest representing each member's share of the profits from member business, segregate these profits on its books, and liquidate the certificates when financially feasible. The court distinguished this case from *Fountain City Cooperative Creamery Association*, 9 T.C. 1077 (1947), where the cooperative's directors had discretion over distributing earnings as stock dividends, indicating a lack of pre-existing obligation. The court stated: "In those cases where the deduction was allowed the obligation to make rebates or refunds was in existence before the profits were earned." Here, the obligation existed before receipt of the earnings.

# **Practical Implications**

This case clarifies the conditions under which a cooperative can exclude patronage dividends from its gross income. It emphasizes the importance of establishing a clear, pre-existing legal obligation to distribute earnings through the cooperative's organizational documents and member contracts. The decision highlights that the form of distribution (cash vs. certificates of interest) is not determinative, as long as the obligation to distribute exists. Later cases have cited *Colony Farms* for the proposition that a cooperative must have a legally binding obligation to distribute patronage dividends to exclude those amounts from its taxable income. This case informs how cooperatives structure their bylaws and member agreements to achieve favorable tax treatment, and how tax advisors counsel them.