104 F.2d 614 (1st Cir. 1939)

When a taxpayer purchases property with the intent to demolish existing buildings and erect a new one, no deductible loss is sustained upon demolition; the entire purchase price is allocated to the land's basis.

Summary

Providence Journal Co. purchased property intending to build a new facility, later demolishing existing structures. The IRS disallowed a deduction for the demolition loss, arguing the initial intent was to raze the buildings. The First Circuit affirmed, holding that because the company intended to demolish the buildings when it bought the property, the cost of the buildings was considered part of the land's cost basis, and no separate demolition loss could be claimed. The court emphasized that the taxpayer's intent at the time of purchase is the determining factor.

Facts

- Providence Journal Co. purchased land and buildings for $440,000.
- At the time of purchase, the company intended to demolish the existing buildings and erect a new structure.
- After purchase, the company collected rent from tenants and claimed depreciation on the buildings.
- The buildings were eventually demolished to make way for the new construction.
- The company claimed a loss deduction for the demolition.

Procedural History

- The Commissioner of Internal Revenue disallowed the deduction for the demolition loss.
- The Board of Tax Appeals upheld the Commissioner's decision.
- The First Circuit Court of Appeals reviewed the Board's decision.

Issue(s)

1. Whether a taxpayer can deduct a loss for the demolition of buildings when, at the time of purchase, the taxpayer intended to demolish the buildings and erect a new structure.

Holding

1. No, because when a taxpayer purchases property with the intent to demolish existing buildings, the cost of those buildings is considered part of the land's cost basis, and no separate demolition loss can be claimed.

Court's Reasoning

© 2025 SCOTUSreports.com. All rights reserved.

The court reasoned that the taxpayer's intent at the time of purchase is the determining factor. If the intent was to demolish the buildings, the purchase price is allocated to the land. The court stated, "When a taxpayer buys real estate upon which is located a building, which he proceeds to raze with a view to erecting thereon another building, it will be considered that the taxpayer has sustained no deductible loss by reason of the demolition of the old building… the value of the real estate, exclusive of the old improvements, being presumably equal to the purchase price of the land and building plus the cost of removing the useless building." The court found the collection of rent and claiming of depreciation irrelevant when the initial intent was demolition. The court cited *Liberty Baking Co. v. Heiner*, noting that the intent to demolish at the time of purchase negates any value assigned to the buildings.

Practical Implications

This case establishes a critical principle for tax law: a taxpayer's intent at the time of purchase determines the deductibility of demolition losses. Attorneys advising clients on real estate transactions must ascertain the client's intent regarding existing structures. If demolition is planned from the outset, no demolition loss can be claimed. Instead, the entire purchase price becomes the basis of the land. This ruling impacts how real estate developers and investors structure their transactions and plan for tax implications. Later cases applying this principle further refine how intent is determined, often looking to objective evidence such as business plans, engineering reports, and contemporaneous communications.

© 2025 SCOTUSreports.com. All rights reserved.