17 T.C. 269 (1951)

r
r

To qualify for excess profits tax relief under Section 722 of the Internal Revenue Code, a taxpayer must demonstrate that their base period net income was an inadequate standard of normal earnings due to specific factors outlined in the statute, such as temporary economic circumstances or sporadic periods of high production and profits inadequately represented in the base period.

r
r

Summary

r

The Wadley Company, engaged in the poultry, egg, and creamery business, sought relief from excess profits taxes for 1941-1944 under Section 722 of the Internal Revenue Code, arguing its base period income was an inadequate standard of normal earnings. The Tax Court denied the relief, finding Wadley failed to prove its business was depressed by temporary economic circumstances, sporadic high production/profit periods, or any other factor justifying relief under Section 722(b)(2), (b)(3)(B), or (b)(5). The court emphasized the taxpayer's burden to demonstrate specific statutory grounds for relief and a clear causal link between those grounds and the claimed depression of earnings.

r
r

Facts

r

Wadley Co. processed and marketed poultry and eggs, primarily in eastern markets, operating six processing plants in Indiana and Illinois. They sourced poultry and eggs from local farmers, with prices fluctuating daily. The company also operated a creamery business. Wadley sought excess profits tax relief, claiming depressed earnings during the base period (1936-1939) due to factors affecting its poultry business.

r
r

Procedural History

© 2025 SCOTUSreports.com. All rights reserved.

r

Wadley filed applications and claims for refund under Section 722 for 1941-1944. The Commissioner of Internal Revenue denied the claims, determining Wadley failed to establish its right to relief. Wadley then petitioned the Tax Court for review of the Commissioner's determination.

r
r

Issue(s)

r

1. Whether Wadley established that its average base period net income was an inadequate standard of normal earnings because the business was depressed due to temporary economic circumstances unusual to Wadley or its industry, as described in Section 722(b)(2)?

r

2. Whether Wadley demonstrated that its business was depressed due to conditions generally prevailing in its industry, subjecting it to sporadic and intermittent periods of high production and profits inadequately represented in the base period, as described in Section 722(b)(3)(B)?

r

3. Whether any other factor affected Wadley's business, reasonably considered as resulting in an inadequate standard of normal earnings during the base period, as described in Section 722(b)(5)?

r
r

Holding

r

1. No, because Wadley failed to prove its poultry business was significantly responsible for the overall decline in net earnings during the base period, nor did it sufficiently demonstrate that factors such as high storage levels or live poultry buying in New York were "temporary economic circumstances."

r

2. No, because Wadley failed to prove sporadic and intermittent periods of high production and profits, or demonstrate that these periods were inadequately

© 2025 SCOTUSreports.com. All rights reserved.

represented in the base period.

r

3. No, because Wadley failed to identify any other specific factors affecting its business that would warrant relief under Section 722(b)(5).

r
r

Court’s Reasoning

r

The Tax Court emphasized the taxpayer’s burden to prove eligibility for Section 722 relief by showing specific statutory grounds for claiming that the base period net income was an inadequate standard for normal earnings. The court found that the company failed to prove that the reduction in net earnings during the base period was primarily attributable to a decline in profits in its poultry department. The court noted that poultry profits were actually *higher* on average during the base period than in the prior decade. The court also rejected the argument that

© 2025 SCOTUSreports.com. All rights reserved.