Estate of Helvering v. Thomson, 6 T.C. 717 (1946)

A cash-basis taxpayer cannot deduct business operating losses beyond their actual out-of-pocket expenses, particularly when their obligation to cover losses is contingent on future payments not yet made.

Summary

Thomson, a cash-basis taxpayer, sought to deduct business losses from a farming venture financed by River. Thomson contributed no initial capital but promised to repay River for half the advances. The venture failed, and Thomson's notes to River were eventually canceled. The Tax Court held that Thomson could not deduct the operating losses because he had not actually made any cash payments toward those losses. The court further found that Thomson did not realize a capital gain when the business was incorporated and he received stock and a note that he immediately endorsed back to River, because he did not receive any real value from the transaction.

Facts

River financed the Terra Ceia Bay Farms, with Thomson managing the operation. Thomson put no personal cash or property into the business. River advanced all funds for purchasing properties and operations. Thomson's compensation was tied to the farm's success; he would receive a one-half interest in the assets by June 30, 1948, if the farm was successful and if he paid his notes to River. Thomson signed promissory notes to River totaling $94,526, representing his obligation to repay River for half the advances, however, Thomson made no payments. By the end of 1945, the notes were canceled. In 1946, the business was incorporated. Thomson received half the corporation's stock and a corporate note for $94,526, which he immediately endorsed back to River, pledging his stock as collateral.

Procedural History

The Commissioner of Internal Revenue disallowed Thomson's claimed deductions for the business operating losses and assessed a deficiency. The Commissioner argued that Thomson realized a capital gain when he transferred his interest in the business to the corporation. Thomson petitioned the Tax Court for a redetermination of the deficiency.

Issue(s)

1. Whether a cash-basis taxpayer can deduct operating losses from a business venture when they have not made any actual cash payments toward those losses.
2. Whether the taxpayer realized a capital gain when transferring his interest in a business to a corporation in exchange for stock and a note that was immediately endorsed back to the initial financier of the business.

© 2025 SCOTUSreports.com. All rights reserved.

Holding

1. No, because a cash-basis taxpayer can only deduct losses to the extent of their actual out-of-pocket expenses.
2. No, because the taxpayer did not receive any real economic benefit from the transaction; the stock was immediately pledged and the note endorsed back to the financier, leaving the taxpayer in essentially the same financial position.

Court’s Reasoning

The Tax Court reasoned that Thomson, as a cash-basis taxpayer, could only deduct losses to the extent he was

© 2025 SCOTUSreports.com. All rights reserved.