Arthur Jordan Foundation v. Commissioner, 12 T.C. 36 (1951)

A corporation organized and operated primarily to turn over its profits to a charitable organization is not automatically exempt from federal income tax under Section 101(6) of the Internal Revenue Code.

Summary

The Arthur Jordan Foundation sought tax-exempt status under Section 101(6) of the Internal Revenue Code, arguing it was organized and operated exclusively for charitable purposes because it turned over its profits to a charitable organization. The Tax Court denied the exemption, holding that an entity generating profits for a charity is not inherently tax-exempt. The court reaffirmed its prior decision in C.F. Mueller Co., despite a conflicting appellate court decision, and concluded the Foundation did not meet the statutory requirements for tax exemption. The key issue was whether "organized and operated exclusively for charitable purposes" applied when the entity's primary activity was generating income for a charity.

Facts

The Arthur Jordan Foundation was established and argued that its purpose was to generate profits to be distributed to a charitable organization. The Foundation applied for an exemption from federal income tax, claiming it was organized and operated exclusively for charitable purposes within the meaning of Section 101(6) of the Internal Revenue Code. The Commissioner of Internal Revenue denied the exemption.

Procedural History

The Commissioner of Internal Revenue determined a deficiency in the Arthur Jordan Foundation's federal income tax. The Foundation petitioned the Tax Court for a redetermination, contesting the Commissioner's decision. The Tax Court reviewed the case de novo, considering the arguments and evidence presented by both parties.

Issue(s)

Whether a corporation organized and operated primarily to generate profits for a charitable organization qualifies for tax exemption under Section 101(6) of the Internal Revenue Code as an organization "organized and operated exclusively for charitable purposes."

Holding

No, because the Foundation's activity of generating profits, even for a charitable beneficiary, does not automatically qualify it as being operated "exclusively" for charitable purposes under the meaning of Section 101(6) of the Internal Revenue

© 2025 SCOTUSreports.com. All rights reserved.

Code.

Court’s Reasoning

The Tax Court relied on its prior decision in C.F. Mueller Co., which held that a corporation organized and operated to turn over its profits to a charitable organization is not automatically exempt from taxation. The court also cited United States v. Community Services, Inc., which reached a similar conclusion. The court acknowledged a conflict with Willingham v. Home Oil Mill, but maintained its position. The court found no basis in the Revenue Act of 1950 to alter its interpretation of Section 101(6). The court emphasized that to qualify for exemption, the organization must be “organized and operated exclusively for charitable purposes,” and generating profits, even for a charity, does not inherently meet that requirement. The court stated that it adhered to the conclusions expressed in the Mueller case, and therefore concluded that the petitioner was not exempt under Section 101(6) I.R.C.

Practical Implications

This case clarifies that merely generating income for a charity does not automatically qualify an organization for tax-exempt status. Attorneys advising organizations seeking tax-exempt status should ensure that the organization’s activities are directly and exclusively charitable, not primarily commercial with charitable distributions. Later cases have distinguished this ruling by focusing on the actual charitable activities conducted by the organization, beyond merely funding other charities. The case emphasizes the importance of structuring an organization to directly engage in charitable activities to qualify for tax exemption, rather than simply acting as a conduit for funds. This ruling impacts how non-profits are structured and how their activities are presented to the IRS when seeking tax-exempt status.

© 2025 SCOTUSreports.com. All rights reserved.