Edgar J. Kaufmann v. Commissioner, 16 T.C. 1191 (1951)

Lump-sum payments made incident to divorce, such as for a house or attorney's fees, are not considered periodic alimony payments and are therefore not deductible; furthermore, personal legal expenses in divorce proceedings, even those related to property conservation, are generally not deductible as expenses for the management of income-producing property.

Summary

In this Tax Court case, Edgar J. Kaufmann sought to deduct three payments related to his divorce: $35,000 for the purchase of a house for his ex-wife, $20,000 for her attorney's fees, and his own attorney's fees. The court considered whether these payments qualified as deductible periodic alimony payments or deductible expenses for the management of income-producing property. The Tax Court held that the $35,000 and $20,000 payments were non-deductible lump-sum payments, not periodic alimony. It further ruled that Kaufmann's own attorney's fees were non-deductible personal expenses, not expenses for conserving income-producing property, emphasizing the personal nature of divorce proceedings.

Facts

Edgar J. Kaufmann and his wife divorced. As part of a settlement agreement incident to their divorce, Kaufmann made the following payments:

1. $35,000 to his wife for the purchase of a home for her.
2. $20,000 to his wife's attorneys for her legal fees.
3. An unspecified amount for his own attorneys' fees incurred in the divorce proceedings.

Kaufmann sought to deduct all three payments from his federal income tax for the year 1947.

Procedural History

The Commissioner of Internal Revenue denied the deductions. Kaufmann petitioned the Tax Court to review the Commissioner's determination, arguing that the payments were deductible under the Internal Revenue Code.

Issue(s)

1. Whether the $35,000 payment for the wife's house constitutes a deductible periodic alimony payment under Section 22(k) of the Internal Revenue Code.
2. Whether the $20,000 payment for the wife's attorneys' fees constitutes a deductible periodic alimony payment under Section 22(k) of the Internal Revenue Code.
3. Whether the petitioner's own attorneys' fees in the divorce proceeding are

© 2025 SCOTUSreports.com. All rights reserved.

deductible under Section 23(a)(2) of the Internal Revenue Code as expenses paid for the management, conservation, or maintenance of property held for the production of income.

Holding

1. No, because the $35,000 payment for the house was a lump-sum payment, not a periodic payment as required by Section 22(k).
2. No, because the $20,000 payment for the wife's attorneys' fees was also a lump-sum payment, not a periodic payment.
3. No, because the attorneys' fees incurred by Kaufmann were personal expenses related to the divorce, and the connection to income-producing property was insufficient to make them deductible under Section 23(a)(2).

Court's Reasoning

The Tax Court reasoned as follows:

- **Periodic Payments:** The court defined "periodic" as "characterized by periods; occurring at regular stated times; acting, happening, or appearing, at fixed intervals; loosely, recurring; intermittent." It emphasized that while the statute eliminates regularity of interval, the term still implies "payments in sequence" and distinguishes payments "standing alone." The $35,000 for the house and $20,000 for attorney's fees were one-time, lump-sum payments, not part of a series of recurring payments for support. The court stated, "we think Congress intended to distinguish in divorce matters under this section between lump sum original payments payable at or near the time of divorce, and later monthly or otherwise periodic payments for current support." The court found the $35,000 payment was specifically for a house, not current support.
- **Wife's Attorney's Fees:** Applying the same reasoning as for the $35,000 payment, the court held that the $20,000 payment for the wife's attorney's fees was also a one-time, lump-sum payment and not a periodic payment.
- **Petitioner's Attorney's Fees:** Relying on its prior decision in *Lindsay C. Howard, 16 T.C. 157*, the court held that expenses for attorneys' fees in a divorce proceeding are personal in nature and not deductible under Section 23(a)(2), even if related to property settlement. The court quoted from *Howard*: "The contention that such expenditures are allowable as expenses of retaining income previously earned leaves us unmoved." The court concluded that "under the *Howard* case the personal nature of the expenses is not overcome by the provisions of section 23 (a) (2) as to conservation or maintenance of property held for production of income."

Practical Implications

Kaufmann v. Commissioner provides a clear distinction between deductible periodic alimony payments and non-deductible lump-sum payments in divorce settlements for

© 2025 SCOTUSreports.com. All rights reserved.

tax purposes. It establishes that payments intended for specific, one-time purposes like purchasing a home or paying attorney's fees are generally considered lump-sum payments and not deductible as periodic alimony. The case also reinforces the principle that legal expenses incurred in divorce proceedings are typically considered personal expenses and are not deductible as business expenses or expenses for the conservation of income-producing property, even when those proceedings involve property settlements. This case is crucial for attorneys advising clients on the tax implications of divorce settlements and for understanding the limitations on deducting divorce-related expenses.

© 2025 SCOTUSreports.com. All rights reserved.