### Haberland Manufacturing Co. v. Commissioner, 25 T.C. 1411 (1956)

When a tax statute requires an action to be completed within a specific timeframe, and the final day falls on a legal holiday, the deadline extends to the next business day.

### **Summary**

Haberland Manufacturing Co. accrued a contribution to a profit-sharing trust on March 31, 1946, and paid it on May 31, 1946. The IRS denied the deduction because May 30, 1946, the sixtieth day after the fiscal year's close, was Memorial Day, a legal holiday in Pennsylvania where the company's principal office was located. The Tax Court considered whether the payment was timely under Section 23(p)(1)(E) of the Internal Revenue Code, which requires payment within sixty days. The Court held that the payment was timely, reasoning that business custom and fairness dictate excluding legal holidays from statutory time periods.

### **Facts**

- Haberland Manufacturing Co. used the accrual method for its fiscal year ending March 31.
- On March 31, 1946, the company accrued a \$75,569.94 contribution to a profit-sharing trust that met the requirements of Section 165(a) of the Internal Revenue Code.
- The contribution was paid to the trustees on May 31, 1946.
- May 30, 1946, the sixtieth day after the close of the fiscal year, was Memorial Day, a legal holiday in Pennsylvania.
- The company's office was closed for business on May 30, 1946.

# **Procedural History**

The IRS denied the deduction of \$75,569.94, resulting in deficiencies of \$6,873.15 in income tax and \$39,791.90 in excess profits tax for the fiscal year ended March 31, 1946. Haberland Manufacturing Co. petitioned the Tax Court for a redetermination. The Tax Court ruled in favor of the petitioner, Haberland Manufacturing Co.

#### Issue(s)

- 1. Whether a payment to a profit-sharing trust made on the sixty-first day after the close of the taxable year is deductible under Section 23(p)(1)(E) of the Internal Revenue Code, when the sixtieth day was a legal holiday.
- 2. Whether a legal holiday falling on the last day of a statutory period should be included or excluded from the calculation of that period.

## **Holding**

1. Yes, because business custom and recent court decisions indicate that legal

- holidays should be excluded from the calculation of statutory time periods, particularly when dealing with ordinary business transactions.
- 2. The legal holiday should be excluded because it aligns with business practice. fairness, and recent judicial interpretations of similar statutory deadlines.

## **Court's Reasoning**

The Tax Court reasoned that the statute required an ordinary business transaction—the payment of money. Pennsylvania law designated May 30 as a legal holiday and considered it as such "for all purposes whatsoever as regards the transaction of business." The Court found that business custom should be given weight in this instance. Referencing Sherwood Bros. v. District of Columbia, the court emphasized that considerations of convenience and fairness dictate excluding the final Sunday (or legal holiday) when calculating the period. The court also cited Union National Bank v. Lamb, noting the Supreme Court's leniency when interpreting similar statutory deadlines. The Tax Court concluded that Haberland's payment was timely, emphasizing that "the considerations of liberality and leniency" should be applied since "no contrary policy is expressed in the statute."

## **Practical Implications**

- This case provides a taxpayer-friendly interpretation of tax deduction deadlines when the final day falls on a legal holiday.
- It reinforces the principle that courts may consider business customs and fairness when interpreting statutory deadlines, especially those involving ordinary business transactions.
- Later cases and IRS guidance have generally followed this principle, often citing Rule 6(a) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure as persuasive authority.
- Legal professionals should be aware of this ruling when advising clients on taxrelated deadlines to ensure compliance while also maximizing available deductions.
- When a statute requires an action to be done within a specific timeframe, and the final day falls on a legal holiday, legal professionals must determine whether the statute expresses a contrary policy that would override the general rule.