## John G. Caruth Corporation v. Commissioner, 38 B.T.A. 1027 (1944)

Section 107(a) of the Internal Revenue Code does not apply to income earned through a partnership's business activities involving land acquisition, subdivision, and home construction, and the transfer of installment obligations to a trust upon dissolution triggers gain recognition under Section 44(d).

## **Summary**

The John G. Caruth Corporation case addresses whether the taxpayers could apply Section 107(a) to partnership income earned through real estate development and whether the transfer of installment obligations to a trust upon dissolution triggered immediate gain recognition under Section 44(d). The Board of Tax Appeals held that Section 107(a) was inapplicable because the income was not received exclusively for personal services to outside parties. It further held that the transfer of installment obligations to the trust triggered gain recognition because the partnership completely disposed of the obligations upon dissolution, falling squarely within the purview of Section 44(d).

#### **Facts**

The petitioners were partners in a real estate development business. The partnership acquired land, subdivided it, constructed houses, and sold the properties. The partnership elected to report profits from real estate sales on the installment basis under Section 44(b). In 1944, the partnership dissolved and transferred its second-trust notes (installment obligations) to a trust.

### **Procedural History**

The Commissioner determined deficiencies in the petitioners' income tax. The petitioners appealed to the Board of Tax Appeals, contesting the Commissioner's refusal to apply Section 107(a) and the determination of gain recognition upon the transfer of installment obligations.

#### Issue(s)

- 1. Whether Section 107(a) of the Internal Revenue Code applies to the petitioners' distributive shares of partnership income derived from real estate development activities.
- 2. Whether the transfer of installment obligations from the dissolved partnership to a trust constitutes a disposition under Section 44(d) of the Internal Revenue Code, triggering immediate gain recognition.

## **Holding**

1. No, because Section 107(a) is intended for compensation received for continuous personal services rendered to an outsider, not for income derived

- from a partnership's real estate development activities.
- 2. Yes, because the transfer of installment obligations to the trust upon dissolution constitutes a disposition under Section 44(d), triggering immediate gain recognition to the extent of the difference between the basis of the obligations and their fair market value.

## Court's Reasoning

The court reasoned that Section 107(a) applies only when at least 80% of total compensation for personal services over a period of 36 months or more is received in one taxable year. In this case, the partnership income was derived from sales of houses and lots, not solely from personal services rendered to outsiders. The court emphasized that the petitioners' distributive shares were based on services rendered to the partnership, not to external clients. Capital investment and borrowed funds played significant roles in generating profits, further distinguishing the situation from the intended application of Section 107(a). As to the installment obligations, the court found that the partnership completely disposed of all installment obligations and transmitted them to the trust, following which the partnership went out of existence. This is "just the kind of a situation to which section 44 (d) was intended to apply and expressly applies." The court cited F. E. Waddell, 37 B. T. A. 565, affd., 102 F. 2d 503; Estate of Henry H. Rogers, 1 T. C. 629, affd., 143 F. 2d 695, certiorari denied, 323 U. S. 780; Estate of Meyer Goldberg, 15 T. C. 10, in support of its holding.

# **Practical Implications**

This case clarifies the limitations of Section 107(a) and the application of Section 44(d). It demonstrates that Section 107(a)'s benefits are not available for income generated through general business activities like real estate development. Moreover, it reinforces that a transfer of installment obligations during a partnership's dissolution constitutes a disposition, triggering immediate gain recognition, preventing taxpayers from deferring gains indefinitely through entity restructuring. Legal professionals should carefully advise clients on the tax consequences of transferring installment obligations during business dissolutions, especially in light of Section 44(d)'s requirements.