Wickwire Spencer Steel Co. v. Commissioner, 24 B.T.A. 620 (1931)

A series of transactions will be treated as a single, integrated transaction for tax purposes if the steps are so interdependent that the legal relations created by one transaction would be fruitless without the completion of the series; in such cases, continuity of control is determined by the ultimate result of the integrated plan.

Summary

Wickwire Spencer Steel Co. sought to establish the basis of assets acquired from a predecessor corporation in 1922, arguing it should be the cost to Wickwire. The IRS contended the acquisition was a tax-free reorganization, meaning Wickwire's basis was the same as the predecessor's. The Board of Tax Appeals held that the transactions constituted an integrated plan where continuity of control was lacking because the original stockholders of the predecessor corporation did not control Wickwire after the transfer, thus it was not a tax-free reorganization. The basis was the price Wickwire paid for the assets.

Facts

Naphen & Co. secured options to purchase the stock of Wickwire's predecessor corporation (the Company). Wickwire and Naphen & Co. contracted for Naphen & Co. to organize Wickwire Spencer Steel Co. and have it acquire the Company's assets. Wickwire then paid Naphen & Co. for the Wickwire Spencer Steel Co. stock. The stockholders of the predecessor corporation were various individuals unrelated to Wickwire.

Procedural History

Wickwire Spencer Steel Co. petitioned the Board of Tax Appeals (now the Tax Court) to contest the IRS's determination of its tax liability for the years 1941 and 1942. The dispute centered on the correct basis for depreciation, loss, and excess profits credit calculations. The IRS argued for a tax-free reorganization, resulting in a carryover basis. Wickwire argued for a cost basis.

Issue(s)

Whether the acquisition by Wickwire Spencer Steel Co. of the assets of its predecessor corporation in 1922 constituted a tax-free reorganization under section 202 of the Revenue Act of 1921, thereby requiring the company to use the predecessor's basis in the assets, or whether the company could use the cost of the assets as its basis.

Holding

No, the acquisition was not a tax-free reorganization because the series of transactions constituted an integrated plan, and the requisite continuity of control

© 2025 SCOTUSreports.com. All rights reserved.

was lacking because Wickwire, who controlled the transferee corporation, was not in control of the transferor corporation prior to the transaction.

Court’s Reasoning

The court reasoned that the various steps were part of an integrated transaction designed to transfer the Company’s assets to Wickwire. The court applied the test from *American Bantam Car Co.*, stating that steps are integrated if the legal relations created by one transaction would be fruitless without completing the series. Here, the court found the steps were interdependent: Naphen & Co.’s acquisition of stock options, the formation of Wickwire Spencer Steel Co., and the transfer of assets were all contingent on each other. Because the original stockholders of the Company did not control Wickwire Spencer Steel Co. after the transaction, the required continuity of control was absent. The court stated, “Lacking any one of the steps, none of the others would have been made; the various steps were so interlocked and interdependent that a separation of them…would defeat the purpose of each”. Therefore, the basis was the cost to Wickwire. The court also determined the fair market value of the stock transferred by examining the purchase price paid by Wickwire to Naphen & Co., rejecting the IRS’s valuation method.

Practical Implications

This case illustrates the importance of analyzing a series of transactions as a whole to determine their tax consequences. It clarifies that the “continuity of control” requirement for tax-free reorganizations is determined by who controls the transferee corporation *after* the transaction and whether that control was present in the transferor corporation *before* the transaction. If a series of transactions is interdependent, the IRS and courts will look to the ultimate result to determine whether a reorganization occurred. This principle impacts how businesses structure acquisitions and mergers to achieve desired tax outcomes. Later cases have cited Wickwire Spencer Steel Co. to support the proposition that substance prevails over form in tax law, and that integrated transactions should be viewed as a whole.

© 2025 SCOTUSreports.com. All rights reserved.