# 16 T.C. 1635 (1951)

A taxpayer issuing redeemable coupons with its products can subtract from income the amount required to redeem the portion of coupons issued during the taxable year that will eventually be presented for redemption, based on reasonable expectations.

### Summary

Brown & Williamson Tobacco Corp. sought to deduct an estimated amount for the future redemption of premium coupons issued with their cigarettes. The IRS argued the deduction was excessive. The Tax Court addressed the issue of what percentage of premium coupons issued by the petitioner with its cigarettes during the years in question would eventually be presented for redemption. The court upheld the taxpayer's method for calculating the deduction, finding it consistent with Treasury Regulations and based on a reasonable expectation of redemption rates, relying on detailed findings from a Commissioner's report.

### Facts

Brown & Williamson issued premium coupons with its cigarette sales, redeemable for merchandise. The company sought to deduct an amount representing the estimated cost of redeeming these coupons in the future. The Commissioner of Internal Revenue (IRS) challenged the amount deducted, arguing that it was excessive. The central factual issue was determining the proportion of premium coupons issued during the years in question that would eventually be presented for redemption.

## **Procedural History**

The case was initially heard before a Commissioner of the Tax Court, as per Internal Revenue Code section 1114 and Tax Court Rule 48. The Commissioner prepared detailed proposed findings. The parties were allowed to file exceptions to these findings. The Tax Court reviewed the proposed findings, exceptions, and the record, and adopted the Commissioner's findings in full. Other issues were resolved or would be resolved by stipulation of the parties.

#### Issue(s)

Whether the taxpayer's method of calculating the deduction for the estimated future redemption of premium coupons was reasonable and in accordance with Treasury Regulations.

## Holding

Yes, because the deduction was based on a reasonable expectation of the proportion of coupons issued in a given year that would eventually be redeemed, consistent with Treasury Regulations and the taxpayer's experience.

# **Court's Reasoning**

The court relied on Treasury Regulations 111, Section 29.42-5, which allows a taxpayer issuing redeemable coupons to subtract from income the amount required for the redemption of the portion of coupons issued during the taxable year that will eventually be presented for redemption. This amount should be determined based on the taxpayer's experience and the experience of similar businesses. The court emphasized that neither party attacked the regulation itself. The court framed the issue as involving a "reasonable expectation" of the proportion of coupons issued in a given year that will eventually be redeemed. The court explicitly adopted the detailed findings of the Commissioner, who had thoroughly reviewed the evidence. The court noted that the Commissioner's findings aligned with the facts presented in the record.

# **Practical Implications**

This case provides guidance on how businesses issuing redeemable coupons or trading stamps can calculate deductions for the estimated cost of future redemptions. It confirms that deductions based on a reasonable expectation of redemption rates, supported by historical data and industry experience, are generally acceptable. The ruling emphasizes the importance of detailed recordkeeping and analysis to support the deduction. Taxpayers should maintain records of coupon issuance and redemption rates to justify their deductions. This case highlights the importance of adherence to Treasury Regulations in calculating deductible expenses and provides a framework for determining "reasonable expectation" in similar circumstances. It illustrates the Tax Court's reliance on Commissioner reports, making clear that these reports are given considerable weight in the decision-making process.