

## **16 T.C. 48 (1951)**

A taxpayer cannot claim equitable estoppel against the Commissioner of Internal Revenue based on a prior agent's oversight if the taxpayer failed to follow mandatory statutory procedures for tax credit adjustments.

### **Summary**

Wiener Machinery Co. sought to carry forward an unused excess profits credit from 1944 to 1945. The IRS disallowed this, arguing that the company should have carried the credit back to 1943 instead, as required by tax law. Wiener argued that the IRS was estopped from disallowing the carry-forward because an agent had previously reviewed and not challenged a similar carry-over from 1943 to 1944. The Tax Court held that the IRS was not estopped because the taxpayer had a duty to follow the statutory procedures for carry-back and carry-forward adjustments, and an agent's prior oversight did not excuse this duty.

### **Facts**

Wiener Machinery Co. had unused excess profits credits in 1942, 1943, and 1944. For 1942 and 1943, Wiener carried forward the credits to subsequent years instead of carrying them back to prior years, as required by Section 710(c) of the Internal Revenue Code.

When filing its 1945 return, Wiener carried over an unused excess profits credit from 1944.

An IRS agent reviewing the 1944 return did not challenge the carry-over from 1943, stating the "excess profits credit for the current year [1944] was substantially correct as reported."

In auditing the 1945 return, the IRS disallowed the carry-over from 1944, arguing that the company should have carried it back to 1943.

### **Procedural History**

The Commissioner of Internal Revenue determined a deficiency in Wiener Machinery Co.'s excess profits tax for 1945.

Wiener Machinery Co. petitioned the Tax Court, arguing that the Commissioner was equitably estopped from disallowing the carry-over or, alternatively, that it was entitled to a set-off credit under Section 3801 of the Internal Revenue Code.

### **Issue(s)**

1. Whether the Commissioner of Internal Revenue is equitably estopped from disallowing the carry-over of an unused excess profits credit from 1944 to 1945 because an agent previously reviewed and did not challenge a similar carry-over from 1943 to 1944.
2. Whether the Tax Court has the power to order a refund of tax or a credit of any overpayment of tax for an earlier year against the 1945 tax under Section 3801 of

the Internal Revenue Code or under the doctrine of equitable recoupment.

## **Holding**

1. No, because the taxpayer had a duty to follow the statutory procedures for carry-back and carry-forward adjustments, and an agent's prior oversight did not excuse this duty. 2. No, because the Tax Court's power is limited to determining whether the Commissioner correctly determined a deficiency for the year in question and lacks the power to apply equitable recoupment.

## **Court's Reasoning**

The court reasoned that the statutory provisions for the computation, carry-back, and carry-over of unused excess profits credit adjustments are mandatory.

The taxpayer erred in carrying unused credit adjustments forward instead of backward as required by Section 710(c) of the Internal Revenue Code.

The court stated, "an unlawful course of procedure, however prolonged, is not made lawful by acquiescence of the Commissioner."

The court found no grounds for equitable estoppel because the Commissioner had never made a determination that the petitioner must carry forward any unused excess profits credit adjustment and the taxpayer could not claim it was misled into an improper course of action.

The court emphasized that the taxpayer also failed to attach required schedules to their returns, contributing to the oversight.

The court cited *Commissioner v. Gooch Milling & Elevator Co.*, 320 U.S. 418, and *Robert G. Elbert*, 2 T.C. 892, in holding that it lacked the power to apply the doctrine of equitable recoupment.

## **Practical Implications**

This case reinforces the principle that taxpayers have a responsibility to comply with tax laws and regulations, regardless of any prior errors or omissions by the IRS.

Taxpayers cannot rely on an agent's failure to detect errors in prior returns as a basis for equitable estoppel.

It highlights the importance of accurate record-keeping and proper documentation of tax positions.

This case also illustrates the limited jurisdiction of the Tax Court, which cannot order refunds or credits for prior years based on equitable considerations. Taxpayers seeking such relief must pursue other avenues, such as filing a claim for refund with the IRS and, if denied, bringing suit in a district court or the Court of Federal Claims.

Subsequent cases have cited *Wiener Machinery* for the proposition that consistent misapplication of the law does not bind the Commissioner and that taxpayers cannot benefit from their own errors based on a prior oversight by the IRS.