

## ***Harbor Chevrolet Corp. v. Commissioner, 26 T.C. 151 (1956)***

The Commissioner of Internal Revenue is not estopped from correcting errors in the application of tax law, even if those errors were initially overlooked by IRS agents in prior years' audits.

### **Summary**

Harbor Chevrolet Corporation sought to carry over an unused excess profits credit from 1944 to 1945. The IRS disallowed this carry-over, leading to a deficiency in the 1945 excess profits tax. Harbor Chevrolet argued that the IRS was estopped from disallowing the carry-over because IRS agents had previously overlooked similar errors in prior years. The Tax Court held that the IRS was not estopped from correcting errors in the application of the tax law, even if those errors were initially overlooked by IRS agents and that the court lacked the power to apply equitable recoupment or order refunds for prior tax years.

### **Facts**

Harbor Chevrolet Corporation (the petitioner) sought to carry over an unused excess profits credit adjustment from 1944 to 1945. During reviews of the petitioner's excess profits tax returns for 1943 and 1944, IRS agents did not question the petitioner's treatment of unused excess profits credit adjustments. The IRS later determined that the carry-over from 1944 to 1945 was incorrect, resulting in a deficiency for 1945.

### **Procedural History**

The Commissioner of Internal Revenue determined a deficiency in Harbor Chevrolet's excess profits tax for 1945. Harbor Chevrolet petitioned the Tax Court for a redetermination of the deficiency. The Tax Court upheld the Commissioner's determination.

### **Issue(s)**

1. Whether the Commissioner is estopped from disallowing a carry-over of an unused excess profits credit adjustment from 1944 to 1945, where IRS agents had previously overlooked similar errors in prior years' audits.
2. Whether the Tax Court has the power to order a refund of tax or a credit of any overpayment of tax for an earlier year against the 1945 tax.
3. Whether the Tax Court has the power to apply the doctrine of equitable recoupment to offset an overpayment of excess profits tax for 1941 against the deficiency in the 1945 excess profits tax.

### **Holding**

1. No, because "an unlawful course of procedure, however prolonged, is not made

lawful by acquiescence of the Commissioner.”

2. No, because the court’s considerations cannot reach section 3801, which governs mitigation of limitations provisions.
3. No, because the Tax Court lacks the power to apply the doctrine of equitable recoupment.

### **Court’s Reasoning**

The court reasoned that the Commissioner is bound to apply section 710(c) of the Code properly in determining the excess profits tax for 1945. The court stated, “The respondent is bound to apply section 710 (c) properly in making his determination of the amount of the excess profits tax for 1945 in accordance with the statute, and if his agents erred in failing to find error in the petitioner’s treatment of the unused excess profits credit adjustments in the excess profits tax returns for 1944 and 1943, the respondent cannot perpetuate errors of either the taxpayer or his agents in determining the amount of the 1945 excess profits tax liability of the petitioner.” The court cited *Mt. Vernon Trust Co. v. Commissioner*, 75 Fed. (2d) 938, and *Commissioner v. Rowan Drilling Co.*, 130 Fed. (2d) 62, 65, emphasizing that “an unlawful course of procedure, however prolonged, is not made lawful by acquiescence of the Commissioner.” The court also noted it lacked the power to order a refund or apply equitable recoupment, citing *Commissioner v. Gooch Milling & Elevator Co.*, 320 U. S. 418.

### **Practical Implications**

This case reinforces the principle that the IRS is not bound by prior errors or omissions in its audits. Taxpayers cannot rely on past oversights by the IRS to justify incorrect tax treatment in subsequent years. The IRS has the authority to correct errors and enforce the tax laws as written, even if it means disallowing deductions or credits that were previously accepted. This case serves as a reminder that taxpayers bear the ultimate responsibility for ensuring the accuracy of their tax returns and that consistency in error does not create a right to continue that error. Taxpayers should proactively ensure compliance rather than relying on potential oversights by the IRS. This principle continues to apply to various areas of tax law, preventing taxpayers from claiming estoppel based on prior IRS inaction.