

Nannie H. Mc Knight, 8 T.C. 871 (1947)

A widow who receives a distribution from her husband's estate, leaving the estate without sufficient funds to pay its debts, can be held liable as a transferee for the unpaid debts, even if the distribution was a court-ordered widow's allowance under state law.

Summary

The Tax Court determined that Nannie H. McKnight was liable as a transferee for unpaid taxes of Merchants Warehouse Co. because she received a distribution from her husband's estate, which consisted of assets derived from the liquidation of Merchants Warehouse Co. This distribution left the estate without funds to pay its debts, including the tax liability of Merchants Warehouse Co., for which the estate was previously determined to be liable as a transferee. The court rejected the argument that the distribution was a protected widow's allowance under Tennessee law, as the assets were not properly part of the estate.

Facts

L.E. McKnight owned the stock of Merchants Warehouse Co.

After McKnight's death, McCourt, as administrator of McKnight's estate, liquidated Merchants Warehouse Co.

McCourt used the liquidation proceeds to pay some debts of the corporation but mistakenly treated the remaining assets as part of McKnight's estate.

McCourt disbursed these funds to pay a personal judgment against McKnight, estate administration expenses, and a \$5,000 allowance to Nannie McKnight, the widow, as a year's support, pursuant to a probate court order.

The disbursements left both the corporation and the estate without funds to pay taxes owed by the corporation to the United States.

Procedural History

The Tax Court previously held in *Estate of L.E. McKnight, 8 T.C. 871*, that the estate was liable as a transferee for the unpaid taxes of Merchants Warehouse Co.

The Commissioner then assessed a transferee liability against Nannie H. McKnight, the widow, for the amount she received as a widow's allowance.

Nannie H. McKnight petitioned the Tax Court for a redetermination of this transferee liability.

Issue(s)

Whether Nannie H. McKnight is liable as a transferee for the unpaid taxes of Merchants Warehouse Co., due to her receipt of a widow's allowance from her husband's estate, where the estate's assets were derived from the liquidation of the corporation and the distribution left the estate without sufficient funds to pay its debts.

Holding

Yes, because the funds used to pay the widow's allowance were not properly assets of the decedent's estate but were held in trust for the creditors of Merchants Warehouse Co. and the estate's liability is not for a tax, but to make good the value of assets taken by it and to which it was not entitled.

Court's Reasoning

The court reasoned that the funds McCourt used to pay the widow's allowance were not truly assets of McKnight's estate. Instead, they were assets from the liquidation of Merchants Warehouse Co., held in trust first for the corporation's creditors and then for the stockholder (McKnight's estate). The court emphasized that the Tennessee statute regarding widow's allowances only applies to assets of the estate. Since the estate never had full equitable title to the assets from Merchants Warehouse Co., the widow's allowance could not be properly paid from those funds.

The court distinguished *Jessie Smith, Executrix*, 24 B.T.A. 807, where a statutory widow's allowance had priority over a tax liability because, in that case, the assets were properly part of the decedent's estate. Here, the assets were held in trust for the corporation's creditors.

The court also cited *Christine D. Muller*, 10 T.C. 678, and other cases to support the proposition that a widow receiving property from her husband's estate can be held liable as a transferee for federal taxes due from her husband, even if the property is exempt from execution under state law.

Finally, the court noted that the government presented sufficient evidence to show that the taxes owed by Merchants Warehouse Co. and the related transferee liability of the estate remained unpaid.

Practical Implications

This case clarifies that a widow's allowance, even when court-ordered, does not automatically shield a recipient from transferee liability for the debts of the deceased spouse or entities in which the deceased had an interest. Attorneys must investigate the source of funds used to pay such allowances to determine if they are properly part of the estate or subject to prior claims, such as those of corporate creditors.

The case reinforces the principle that transferee liability extends to situations where

the estate never acquired full title to the property and that the estate's liability is not for a tax, but to make good the value of assets taken by it and to which it was not entitled.

It underscores the importance of establishing the precise nature of assets before they are distributed from an estate, especially when dealing with potentially insolvent entities or tax liabilities.