

## ***Stewart Title Guaranty Company v. Commissioner, 20 T.C. 630 (1953)***

A corporation that purchases assets from another corporation for fair consideration is not liable as a transferee for the transferor's tax liabilities, provided the transferor was not rendered insolvent and the payment was properly made on behalf of the transferor.

### **Summary**

Stewart Title Guaranty Company leased an abstract and title plant from New Southwestern, Inc., with an option to purchase. Stewart exercised the option and paid \$40,000 to W.A. Wakefield, New Southwestern's president and sole stockholder, who deposited the funds in a "Trustee" account. The IRS assessed a tax deficiency against New Southwestern and sought to hold Stewart liable as a transferee of assets. The Tax Court held that Stewart was not liable because it purchased the assets for fair consideration, and there was no evidence that New Southwestern was rendered insolvent or that Wakefield improperly received payment.

### **Facts**

Stewart Title Guaranty Company (Petitioner) leased an abstract and title plant from New Southwestern, Inc. The lease agreement included an option for Stewart Title to purchase the plant for \$40,000. Stewart Title exercised this option. The purchase price was paid to W.A. Wakefield, the president and sole stockholder of New Southwestern. Wakefield deposited the funds into an account titled "W.A. Wakefield, Trustee." The corporate records of New Southwestern represented that Wakefield was the owner of 100% of its stock, and the tax returns reported the gain from the sale of assets to Stewart Title. The IRS later determined a tax deficiency against New Southwestern. The IRS sought to hold Stewart Title liable for New Southwestern's tax deficiency as a transferee of assets.

### **Procedural History**

The Commissioner of Internal Revenue determined a deficiency in New Southwestern's taxes and sought to hold Stewart Title liable as a transferee. Stewart Title petitioned the Tax Court for a redetermination of the Commissioner's finding. The Tax Court reviewed the facts and the arguments presented by both parties.

### **Issue(s)**

1. Whether Stewart Title purchased the stock of New Southwestern, making it liable for New Southwestern's tax deficiencies.
2. Whether the transaction rendered New Southwestern insolvent, thus making Stewart Title liable as a transferee.

### **Holding**

1. No, because Stewart Title purchased the abstract and title plant assets, not the stock of New Southwestern.
2. No, because the evidence did not demonstrate that New Southwestern was rendered insolvent by the sale, nor was there proof that payment to Wakefield was improper because he accepted payment on behalf of the corporation.

### **Court's Reasoning**

The court reasoned that the evidence clearly showed Stewart Title purchased the abstract and title plant assets, not the stock of New Southwestern. The option in the lease agreement pertained solely to the physical assets. Corporate minutes and documents supported the sale of the assets, not the stock. Furthermore, the IRS's deficiency determination stemmed from the gain realized by New Southwestern from the sale of the abstract and title plant to Stewart Title, which was inconsistent with the argument that Stewart Title bought the stock.

Regarding insolvency, the court found no evidence that New Southwestern was rendered insolvent. Wakefield, as president and sole stockholder, accepted payment on behalf of the corporation. The court noted that checks were issued to New Southwestern after the sale in amounts exceeding the tax liability. Wakefield also testified that New Southwestern had no liabilities at the time of the sale. The court distinguished cases where a transferee dispossesses a company of all assets and leaves it unable to pay debts, stating that Stewart Title paid fair consideration for the assets. The court cited the general rule that "where one corporation in good faith purchases or acquires all of the assets of another for fair consideration, the transferee is not liable for the debts and liabilities of the transferor."

### **Practical Implications**

This case clarifies the circumstances under which a purchaser of assets may be held liable for the seller's tax liabilities as a transferee. It reinforces that a purchase for fair consideration, without rendering the seller insolvent, generally protects the purchaser from such liability. The case emphasizes the importance of documenting the transaction as an asset sale, ensuring proper payment to the selling corporation, and verifying the solvency of the seller. Attorneys structuring asset acquisitions should ensure these steps are followed to avoid transferee liability. Later cases will likely distinguish this case where there is evidence of unfair consideration, insolvency, or improper payments designed to evade creditors.