## The Western Wine & Liquor Co. v. Commissioner, 18 T.C. 10 (1952)

Gains or losses from the failure to exercise options to buy or sell property are considered short-term capital gains or losses, and, for corporations, are deductible only to the extent of capital gains.

### **Summary**

The Western Wine & Liquor Co. sought to deduct as an ordinary and necessary business expense a \$25,000 loss incurred when it failed to exercise an option to purchase stock. The Tax Court held that Section 117(g)(2) of the Internal Revenue Code clearly dictates that such a loss is a short-term capital loss, deductible only to the extent of capital gains. Since the company showed no capital gains for the year, no deduction was allowed. The court rejected the argument that this treatment was unduly harsh or contrary to Congressional intent.

#### **Facts**

Western Wine & Liquor Co. (petitioner), acting through a broker, deposited \$25,000 to obtain an option to purchase stock in Chalmette. The option was to expire on June 13, 1944. Due to unspecified reasons, Western did not complete the deal by the expiration date. The option was extended for 30 days with an additional \$5,000 payment made by another broker. Before the extended date, Western notified all parties that it would not purchase the stock. The option was not exercised, and the \$25,000 purchase price was forfeited.

### **Procedural History**

The Commissioner of Internal Revenue disallowed the petitioner's deduction of \$25,000 as an ordinary and necessary business expense. The Western Wine & Liquor Co. petitioned the Tax Court for review of the Commissioner's determination.

### Issue(s)

Whether the \$25,000 loss from the failure to exercise the stock option is deductible as an ordinary and necessary business expense under Section 23(a)(1)(A) of the Internal Revenue Code, or whether it is a short-term capital loss under Section 117(g)(2) of the Code, deductible only to the extent of capital gains.

#### Holding

No, because Section 117(g)(2) of the Internal Revenue Code explicitly states that losses from failure to exercise options are to be treated as short-term capital losses, and the petitioner did not demonstrate any capital gains in the taxable year to offset the loss.

### Court's Reasoning

The court relied on the plain language of Section 117(g)(2) of the Internal Revenue Code, which states that "gains or losses attributable to the failure to exercise privileges or options to buy or sell property shall be considered as short-term capital gains or losses." The court noted that the petitioner purchased the option in its own name and failed to exercise it, falling squarely within the statute's terms. The court rejected the petitioner's argument that applying Section 117(g)(2) in this case would be unduly harsh or contrary to Congressional intent. The court emphasized that its role is to interpret and apply the law as written, not to legislate. The court stated that, "If we held in accordance with petitioner's theory, under the circumstances of this case, this Court would be stepping beyond its judicial function into the field of legislation." The court also disallowed other deductions claimed by the petitioner due to a lack of evidence or argument presented at the hearing.

# **Practical Implications**

This case clarifies the tax treatment of losses from unexercised options, particularly for corporate taxpayers. It confirms that such losses are treated as short-term capital losses, which can only be deducted to the extent of capital gains. This rule can significantly impact businesses that use options as part of their investment or hedging strategies. Tax advisors must carefully consider the capital gain/loss implications of option transactions. This ruling underscores the importance of understanding the specific provisions of the tax code and ensuring that businesses maintain accurate records of their capital gains and losses. Later cases will likely cite this as an example of strict application of the tax code.