***14 T.C. 894 (1950)***

A transfer of property to a trust is deemed complete for estate tax purposes when the grantor executes and delivers the trust deed, relinquishing all control and dominion over the assets, even if the physical transfer of the assets occurs later.

**Summary**

The Tax Court reconsidered its prior decision in light of Public Law 378, addressing whether a transfer to a trust was made in contemplation of death or before March 3, 1931, impacting estate tax liability. The decedent executed a trust deed on January 19, 1931, transferring her remainder interest in three trusts managed by Fidelity-Philadelphia Trust Co. The court held that the transfer was not made in contemplation of death, focusing on the decedent's motives related to efficient management and family protection, not testamentary intent. It also determined that the transfer occurred on January 19, 1931, when the trust deed was executed and delivered, even though the physical transfer of assets happened after March 3, 1931.

**Facts**

Decedent's mother died on October 4, 1930, terminating three trusts established by decedent's grandfather, in which decedent held a one-fourth remainder interest. The Fidelity-Philadelphia Trust Co. managed these trusts. On January 19, 1931, the decedent executed a trust indenture, conveying her interest in the grandfather's trusts (excluding $100,000) to a new trust, reserving income for herself and providing for her children. Court orders awarded decedent her share on January 6, February 11, and February 13, 1931. Physical assets were transferred to the new trust after March 31, 1931. The decedent enjoyed excellent health and normal activity until approximately April 1940.

**Procedural History**

The Tax Court initially decided the case based on Commissioner v. Church and Spiegel v. Commissioner. After Public Law 378 retroactively changed the legal landscape, the court granted the petitioner's motion to vacate and reconsider the original decision. The Commissioner then raised new arguments regarding contemplation of death and the timing of the transfer.

**Issue(s)**

1. Whether the trust deed of January 19, 1931, was a transfer of property in contemplation of death under section 811(c) of the Internal Revenue Code?

2. Whether decedent's transfer of property in trust occurred before the Joint Resolution of March 3, 1931, or thereafter, impacting the applicability of certain estate tax provisions?

© 2025 SCOTUSreports.com. All rights reserved. 

## Holding

1. No, because the dominant motives for creating the trust were associated with life, not death. The decedent was motivated to continue the management of her assets with an experienced trustee, save on income taxes, and protect her property from speculation.

2. Yes, the transfer occurred before March 3, 1931, because the transfer was completed upon execution and delivery of the trust deed on January 19, 1931, regardless of when the physical assets were transferred.

## Court's Reasoning

The court reasoned that the respondent failed to prove the transfer was in contemplation of death. The decedent's good health, normal activities, and dominant motives of efficient asset management, tax savings, and family protection pointed to life-associated motives. Referencing United States v. Wells, the court stated the test is "whether the thought of death is the impelling cause of the transfer." The court distinguished Estate of Davidson v. Commissioner and United States v. Tonkin, finding no integrated testamentary plan. For the second issue, the court emphasized that the decedent, by executing and delivering the trust deed on January 19, 1931, unqualifiedly transferred her interest, reserving no power to revoke or condition the gift. The court stated, "Nothing more remained to be done or could be done by the decedent to divest herself of the assets; she did nothing more." Citing Edson v. Lucas, the court found a valid gift inter vivos was made. The court focused on the relinquishment of control over economic benefits, citing Sanford's Estate v. Commissioner, 308 U. S. 39.

## Practical Implications

This case clarifies that for estate tax purposes, a transfer to a trust is complete when the grantor relinquishes control via a valid trust deed, even if physical transfer of assets occurs later. This provides guidance in determining the timing of transfers regarding changes in tax law. The case emphasizes examining the grantor's motives when assessing contemplation of death, focusing on life-associated reasons such as asset management and family security. Later cases cite Farnum for the principle that execution of a trust document can constitute a completed gift even absent immediate physical delivery of the underlying assets, particularly where a professional trustee already holds the assets.

© 2025 SCOTUSreports.com. All rights reserved. 