14 T.C. 751 (1950)

r
r

A taxpayer’s consistent failure to report substantial income, coupled with a lack of proper bookkeeping and a failure to provide a credible explanation, constitutes clear and convincing evidence of fraud with intent to evade tax, justifying the imposition of fraud penalties.

r
r

Summary

r

Abraham Wolf, president and majority shareholder of Arlette Coat Co., was assessed deficiencies in income tax and fraud penalties for 1942-1944. The IRS determined his tax liability using the net worth method due to his lack of personal books. Wolf argued he had a large sum of unreported cash and had borrowed funds. The Tax Court upheld the deficiencies and fraud penalties, finding Wolf’s testimony unreliable and concluding that his consistent failure to report significant income, coupled with inadequate records, demonstrated fraudulent intent. The court emphasized that the burden of proof for fraud penalties rests on the Commissioner, but can be met through circumstantial evidence.

r
r

Facts

r

Abraham Wolf was the president and an 80% shareholder of Arlette Coat Co. during the years 1942-1944. He received a salary from the company. Wolf maintained no personal books of account. The IRS determined Wolf’s tax liabilities for 1942, 1943, and 1944 using the net worth method, based on his assets at the end of each year. Wolf claimed he had approximately $50,000 cash in a box at the beginning of the period and had borrowed significant funds, neither of which he had previously disclosed to the IRS during the investigation.

r
r

Procedural History

© 2025 SCOTUSreports.com. All rights reserved.

r

The Commissioner of Internal Revenue determined deficiencies in Wolf's income taxes and assessed fraud penalties. Wolf petitioned the Tax Court for a redetermination of these deficiencies. Arlette Coat Co.'s case was consolidated with Wolf's but was resolved through stipulations, leaving only Wolf's individual tax liability and fraud penalties at issue.

r
r

Issue(s)

r

1. Whether the Commissioner correctly determined deficiencies in Abraham Wolf's income taxes for the years 1942, 1943, and 1944 using the net worth method.

r

2. Whether the Commissioner presented clear and convincing evidence that any part of the deficiencies was due to fraud with intent to evade tax, justifying the imposition of fraud penalties under Sections 293(b) and 1112 of the Internal Revenue Code.

r
r

Holding

r

1. Yes, because Wolf failed to prove that the net worth determination was incorrect, and his testimony regarding additional cash and borrowed funds was not credible.

r

2. Yes, because Wolf consistently failed to report substantial income over several years, maintained inadequate records, and offered no credible explanation for the discrepancies between his reported income and his actual increase in net worth.

r
r

Court's Reasoning

r

© 2025 SCOTUSreports.com. All rights reserved.

The court found the Commissioner’s use of the net worth method appropriate given Wolf’s lack of books. It determined that Wolf failed to meet his burden of proving the Commissioner’s deficiency determination was incorrect. The court dismissed Wolf’s testimony regarding the $50,000 cash box and alleged loans as vague, uncorroborated, and not credible. The court emphasized Wolf’s failure to mention these assets during the investigation. Regarding the fraud penalties, the court stated that direct proof of fraudulent intent is seldom possible, and it must usually be inferred from the transactions and the taxpayer’s conduct. The court quoted <a href="/opinion/131523/m-rea-gano-19-b-t-a-518-1930" data-uat=

© 2025 SCOTUSreports.com. All rights reserved.