

## ***14 T.C. 388 (1950)***

An accrual basis taxpayer can deduct state franchise taxes in the year the liability arises, even if the income on which the tax is based is later reduced due to renegotiation of contracts.

### **Summary**

Taylor Instrument Companies, an accrual basis taxpayer, sought to deduct New York State franchise taxes for fiscal year 1945. The Commissioner reduced the deduction, arguing that renegotiation of war contracts, which reduced the income on which the tax was based, should affect the deductible amount. The Tax Court held that the full amount of the franchise taxes was deductible in 1945 because, at the end of that tax year, the liability was fixed and the potential for a refund due to renegotiation was not yet ascertainable with sufficient certainty.

### **Facts**

Taylor Instrument Companies, a New York corporation, used the accrual method of accounting with a fiscal year ending July 31. The company's New York State franchise tax was based on net income allocable to New York for the fiscal years ending July 31, 1943, 1944, and 1945. The company's war contracts for those years were subject to renegotiation by the U.S. Government. The company deducted \$287,733.10 for New York State franchise taxes on its 1945 excess profits tax return. The Commissioner reduced that figure to \$282,230.25.

### **Procedural History**

The Commissioner determined a deficiency in Taylor Instrument Companies' excess profits tax for the year ended July 31, 1945. The company petitioned the Tax Court, contesting the Commissioner's reduction of the state franchise tax deduction and claiming an overpayment. The Tax Court addressed the deductibility of the New York State franchise taxes.

### **Issue(s)**

Whether an accrual basis taxpayer is entitled to deduct the full amount of New York State franchise taxes accrued in a fiscal year, even though the income on which the tax is based is later reduced due to renegotiation of war contracts.

### **Holding**

Yes, because at the end of the taxpayer's fiscal year, the liability for the state franchise taxes was fixed, and the right to a refund due to renegotiation was not yet sufficiently assured or ascertainable in amount to justify reducing the deduction.

### **Court's Reasoning**

The Tax Court emphasized that accrual basis accounting does not allow adjustments for events occurring after the close of the tax year, even if those events relate to the income of that year. The court stated, “if at the end of petitioner’s taxable year it owed New York State franchise taxes in the amount specified and was required to pay them, as the record appears to demonstrate, and if at that time its right to claim a refund of those taxes was not sufficiently assured or ascertainable in amount so as to justify accrual of a corresponding refund, all deductions were proper and should have been allowed.” Regarding the 1945 tax year, renegotiation proceedings had not even begun by the end of the fiscal year. While proceedings for 1944 were in progress, the amount was not finalized until after the tax return deadline. The court relied on precedent, noting situations where Connecticut income taxes, subject to reduction due to subsequent renegotiation, were deductible in the year of payment. The court found the liability for New York State franchise taxes was fixed based on the then-known New York State income for both 1944 and 1945, thereby allowing the full deduction.

### **Practical Implications**

This case clarifies the deductibility of state taxes for accrual basis taxpayers when income is subject to later adjustment, such as through renegotiation of government contracts. It reinforces that tax deductions are generally determined based on the facts known at the close of the tax year. This ruling emphasizes the importance of assessing the certainty of potential refunds or adjustments when determining deductible amounts. Taxpayers and practitioners should carefully document the status of any renegotiation or adjustment processes at the end of the tax year to support their deduction calculations. This principle has been applied in subsequent cases addressing the timing of deductions in situations involving contingent liabilities or potential refunds.