14 T.C. 313 (1950)

Payments for services are deductible as ordinary and necessary business expenses even if the payments are made retroactively, so long as the compensation is reasonable and the services were actually performed.

Summary

Associated Theatres Corporation paid a management fee to Colony Management Co., a partnership composed of the theater's officers and directors. The agreement was made retroactive to the beginning of the fiscal year. The Commissioner disallowed the retroactive portion of the payment. The Tax Court held that the retroactive payments represented reasonable compensation for services actually performed and were deductible as ordinary and necessary business expenses, relying on *Lucas v. Ox Fibre Brush Co.*, even though the formal partnership agreement was executed mid-year.

Facts

Associated Theatres Corp. operated a motion picture theatre. Its officers and directors were also its principal stockholders. Initially, the officers received minimal or no compensation. Later, the corporation entered into an agreement with Colony Management Co., a partnership formed by the officers, to pay a management fee retroactive to the start of the fiscal year. The Commissioner contested the deductibility of the retroactive portion of these payments.

Procedural History

The Commissioner of Internal Revenue determined deficiencies in Associated Theatres' income tax, declared value excess profits tax, and excess profits tax. These deficiencies stemmed from the disallowance of a portion of the deduction claimed for management expenses. Associated Theatres Corp. petitioned the Tax Court, contesting the Commissioner's disallowance.

Issue(s)

Whether retroactive payments to a management partnership, composed of the corporation's officers and directors, are deductible as ordinary and necessary business expenses under Section 23(a)(1)(A) of the Internal Revenue Code, even when the formal partnership agreement was executed after the start of the period for which services were compensated.

Holding

Yes, because the payments represented reasonable compensation for services actually rendered to the corporation during the period in question, and the absence of a formal partnership agreement for the entire period does not negate the

© 2025 SCOTUSreports.com. All rights reserved.

deductibility of the payments under the precedent set by *Lucas v. Ox Fibre Brush Co*.

Court's Reasoning

The court relied on *Lucas v. Ox Fibre Brush Co.*, which held that payments for services are deductible if reasonable, even if the services were rendered in a prior year. The court emphasized that the statute requires only that the payments be proper expenses paid or incurred during the taxable year for services actually rendered. It did not matter that the Colony Management Co. partnership was formally created mid-year, because the individuals involved (Fine, Stecker, and Berman) were already performing the management services for which the retroactive payments were intended to compensate. The court stated, "The statute does not require that the services should be actually rendered during the taxable year, but that the payments therefor shall be proper expenses paid or incurred during the taxable year." The court found that the retroactive payments were reasonable, especially considering the company's subsequent increased profitability while maintaining the same management fee.

Practical Implications

This case clarifies that the timing of formal agreements is not the sole determinant of deductibility for compensation expenses. What matters most is whether the services were actually performed and whether the compensation is reasonable. Attorneys advising businesses on compensation arrangements should emphasize the importance of documenting the services provided and ensuring that the compensation aligns with the value of those services. This ruling confirms that businesses can deduct retroactive compensation if it is for services already rendered and the total compensation is reasonable. This principle is especially relevant for closely held businesses where owners also perform management functions and compensation structures may evolve over time.

© 2025 SCOTUSreports.com. All rights reserved.