14 T.C. 45 (1950)

To qualify for tax relief under Section 721 for abnormal income attributable to research and development, a taxpayer must demonstrate that the development extended over more than 12 months and provide a factual basis for allocating the income to specific prior years.

Summary

H.S. McClelland, Inc. sought relief from excess profits tax, arguing that a portion of its 1941 income was attributable to prior years' research and development of patents. The Tax Court denied relief, holding that while the income was abnormal, the taxpayer failed to prove that the relevant development extended over more than 12 months or provide a factual basis for allocating the income to specific base period years. The court emphasized that simply acquiring a right to profits without substantial investment or effort does not justify attributing income to prior development periods.

Facts

H.S. McClelland, Inc. ("McClelland"), a heating and air conditioning contractor, entered into an agreement with Charles Wheeler to manufacture grilles through a business called Controlair Manufacturing Co. ("Controlair"). McClelland provided rent-free space and Wheeler contributed his design expertise. The agreement stipulated that McClelland would receive 60% of Controlair's profits. Wheeler developed an adjustable bar grille which was patented. Controlair's sales and McClelland's share of the profits significantly increased in 1941. McClelland sought to reduce its excess profits tax by attributing a portion of the 1941 income to prior years, arguing it was the result of research and development.

Procedural History

The Commissioner of Internal Revenue determined a deficiency in McClelland's excess profits tax for the fiscal year ending April 30, 1941. McClelland petitioned the Tax Court, initially claiming relief under Section 734 of the Internal Revenue Code, but later arguing for relief under Section 721. The Tax Court upheld the Commissioner's determination, denying McClelland's claim for tax relief.

Issue(s)

Whether McClelland is entitled to relief under Section 721 of the Internal Revenue Code, allowing a reduction in excess profits tax by attributing abnormal income to prior years based on research and development of patents.

Holding

No, because McClelland failed to demonstrate that the development of the patented

© 2025 SCOTUSreports.com. All rights reserved.

grilles extended over more than 12 months or provide an adequate factual basis for allocating the abnormal income to specific base period years.

Court's Reasoning

The court acknowledged that McClelland's income from Controlair in 1941 was abnormal under Section 721(a)(1), as it exceeded 125% of the average income from the same source in the base period years. However, to qualify for relief, McClelland needed to prove that the income was attributable to research and development extending over more than 12 months, as specified in Section 721(a)(2)(C). The court found that McClelland failed to provide sufficient evidence to establish this. The court noted that the experimental work may have been completed before McClelland's contract with Wheeler, and the manufacturing of the grilles began in the first year of McClelland's existence. Additionally, the court stated that "[a]bnormal income may not be attributed to a previous year by reason of the taxpayer's investment in an asset…or, *a fortiori*, by reason of an acquisition without investment." The court also found no basis for attributing specific parts of income to the patented products, particularly since McClelland made no cash disbursements and Wheeler conducted the development. The court concluded that McClelland essentially received a right to 60% of Controlair's profits in exchange for rent-free space, which was actually provided by McClelland's chief stockholder, not the corporation itself.

Practical Implications

This case clarifies the requirements for claiming tax relief under Section 721 for abnormal income derived from research and development. It emphasizes that merely experiencing a surge in income related to patented products is insufficient. Taxpayers must demonstrate a clear link between the income and specific development activities occurring over a sustained period (more than 12 months). The case also illustrates that simply providing resources (like space) without substantial investment or direct involvement in the development process does not automatically entitle a taxpayer to attribute income to prior years. This ruling reinforces the importance of maintaining detailed records of research and development activities, including timelines and expenditures, to support claims for tax relief. Attorneys advising clients on tax planning should carefully document the development process to ensure eligibility for Section 721 relief.

© 2025 SCOTUSreports.com. All rights reserved.