Reade Manufacturing Co. v. Commissioner, 13 T.C. 420 (1949)

When a lease is terminated, the unrecovered cost basis specifically allocable to that lease, including a portion of a lump-sum purchase price paid for multiple leases, is deductible as a loss, provided that allocation is practicable and no double deduction occurs.

Summary

Reade Manufacturing Co. sought to deduct a loss on the termination of the Pettit lease, arguing that the adjusted basis should include a portion of the unrecovered cost from a 1914 contract with Chemung Iron Co. The Tax Court held that the unrecovered cost of the Pettit lease, which was a component of a larger transaction involving multiple leases, was indeed deductible as a loss upon the lease's termination. The court emphasized that allocation was practical in this case and that deducting the loss did not result in a double recovery.

Facts

Reade Manufacturing Co. acquired 12 iron ore leases from Chemung Iron Co. in 1903, including the Pettit lease. In 1914, Reade purchased Chemung's interest in all 12 leases for a lump sum, with the price for each lease based on an estimated mineral content. Reade never mined ore from the Pettit lease and terminated it in 1939 to avoid further minimum royalty payments.

Procedural History

The Commissioner determined a deficiency in Reade's income tax, disallowing a portion of the loss claimed by Reade related to the termination of the Pettit lease. Reade petitioned the Tax Court for a redetermination of the deficiency.

Issue(s)

Whether the adjusted basis for calculating the loss on the terminated Pettit lease should include a portion of the unrecovered cost paid under the 1914 Chemung contract, representing the allocated cost of that specific lease.

Holding

Yes, because the unrecovered cost of a terminated lease is deductible as a loss, and in this case, a specific portion of the lump-sum purchase price from 1914 can be practicably and properly allocated to the Pettit lease.

Court's Reasoning

The Tax Court relied on precedent establishing that the unrecovered cost of a lease is deductible as a loss when the lease is terminated. The court emphasized the

© 2025 SCOTUSreports.com. All rights reserved.

principle that a lump-sum purchase price should be allocated to individual leases for calculating loss upon termination, unless such allocation is impractical. Here, the court found that a specific portion of the 1914 cost was easily and properly identified as part of the cost of the Pettit lease, as the initial purchase agreement between Reade and Chemung had allocated values to each lease based on estimated mineral content. The court also clarified that deducting this loss did not amount to a double recovery, as it represented costs not yet recovered through depletion or other means. The court stated: "The unrecovered cost of a lease is deductible as a loss when a lease is terminated under circumstances similar to those here present… A lump sum purchase price should be allocated to the several leases for the purpose, inter alia, of computing loss upon termination of a lease, unless such allocation is wholly impracticable."

Practical Implications

This case provides a clear framework for determining the deductibility of losses related to terminated leases, particularly when those leases were acquired as part of a larger transaction. It affirms that taxpayers can allocate a portion of a lump-sum purchase price to individual leases for loss calculation purposes, provided a reasonable basis for allocation exists. This decision emphasizes the importance of maintaining detailed records that allow for the specific allocation of costs to individual assets within a larger portfolio. Subsequent cases have cited Reade Manufacturing for the principle that the cost basis should be allocated among different assets acquired in a single transaction if such allocation is practical. Attorneys should advise clients to document the valuation methods used in acquiring multiple assets to support future loss claims.

© 2025 SCOTUSreports.com. All rights reserved.