

***Irene L. Bell, Petitioner, v. Commissioner of Internal Revenue, Respondent,  
13 T.C. 344 (1949)***

A self-employed individual can deduct ordinary and necessary business expenses from gross income to arrive at adjusted gross income, even when using the tax tables, if those expenses are directly related to their trade or business activities.

**Summary**

Irene Bell, a self-employed insurance salesperson and cafeteria operator, contested the Commissioner's disallowance of certain business expense deductions. The Tax Court addressed whether Bell could deduct these expenses, including auto maintenance and supplies, to calculate her adjusted gross income despite using the tax tables. The court held that Bell, as an independent contractor rather than an employee, could deduct ordinary and necessary business expenses, including a portion of her auto expenses, from her gross income to arrive at her adjusted gross income. This case clarifies the criteria for determining independent contractor status and the deductibility of related business expenses.

**Facts**

Irene Bell sold burial insurance policies and operated a cafeteria during 1945. As an insurance salesperson, she was unrestricted in her territory, paid her own expenses, and was not under the insurance company's direct control. She used her car for insurance sales and collections. Later, she purchased and operated a cafeteria. She used her car to procure supplies due to wartime shortages. On her tax return, Bell deducted auto maintenance and supplies, as well as a loss from her cafeteria operation. She filed under Section 400, using tax tables.

**Procedural History**

The Commissioner of Internal Revenue disallowed Bell's deductions for a business loss and auto maintenance. Bell appealed to the United States Tax Court, contesting the Commissioner's determination.

**Issue(s)**

1. Whether Bell adequately substantiated her business loss from the cafeteria operation.
2. Whether Bell, in selling insurance, was an employee or an independent contractor for the purposes of deducting car expenses under Section 22(n)(1) of the Internal Revenue Code.

**Holding**

1. Yes, because Bell presented credible evidence, despite the loss of original

documents, to support her claimed business loss.

2. No, she was an independent contractor because she operated with significant autonomy, and therefore, she could deduct car expenses as business expenses under Section 22(n)(1).

### **Court's Reasoning**

The Tax Court found Bell's testimony and the auditor's records credible enough to support the cafeteria loss claim, adjusting the depreciation expense based on available evidence. The court applied the *Cohan* rule, acknowledging that some depreciation occurred and estimating a reasonable amount. Regarding the auto expenses, the court determined that Bell was an independent contractor based on her operational autonomy: "Her activities were those of an independent contractor or salesman operating her own business, not those of an employee under the direction and control of an employer." Because of this status, her car expenses were deductible as ordinary and necessary business expenses under Section 22(n)(1), even though she used the tax tables. The court deemed the estimated mileage and cost reasonable, but it reduced the deductible amount due to a lack of precise records, again applying the *Cohan* rule.

### **Practical Implications**

This case clarifies that self-employed individuals who operate with significant independence can deduct business expenses to determine adjusted gross income, even when using the tax tables. It also reinforces the importance of maintaining detailed records of business expenses, even while allowing for reasonable estimations when precise records are unavailable. Legal practitioners should consider the level of autonomy and control in determining whether a worker is an employee or an independent contractor for tax purposes. *Bell* continues to be relevant in disputes concerning the classification of workers and the deductibility of business expenses by self-employed individuals. Later cases cite *Bell* when determining whether a taxpayer is an employee or independent contractor.