12 T.C. 1114 (1949)

Legal expenses incurred in defending against criminal charges are deductible as ordinary and necessary business expenses if the charges are directly connected to and proximately result from the taxpayer's business activities.

Summary

Morgan S. Kaufman, a lawyer, was indicted for conspiracy to obstruct justice. He incurred significant legal expenses defending against the charges. The jury twice failed to reach a verdict, and the prosecution was eventually dropped. Kaufman sought to deduct these legal expenses as ordinary and necessary business expenses. The Tax Court held that the legal expenses were deductible because the indictment arose directly from Kaufman's legal practice, and he was presumed innocent of the charges.

Facts

Kaufman was an attorney indicted for conspiring with a judge and a client to obstruct justice in cases before the Third Circuit Court of Appeals. The indictment alleged that Kaufman facilitated payments to the judge to influence his decisions in favor of Kaufman's client. Kaufman incurred substantial legal fees defending against these criminal charges in 1941 and 1942. He ceased taking new clients upon learning of the investigation and directed existing clients to other counsel, intending to resume practice only after clearing his name.

Procedural History

Kaufman was indicted in federal court, and two trials resulted in hung juries. The U.S. Attorney then entered a nolle-pros, dropping the charges. Following the indictment, disciplinary proceedings were initiated, leading to Kaufman's disbarment in 1943. Kaufman claimed deductions for legal expenses on his 1941 and 1942 tax returns, which the Commissioner disallowed. Kaufman then petitioned the Tax Court.

Issue(s)

1. Whether legal expenses incurred in defending against criminal charges of conspiracy to obstruct justice are deductible as ordinary and necessary business expenses under Section 23(a)(1) of the Internal Revenue Code, when the charges arise from the taxpayer's business activities.
2. Whether the fact that the taxpayer ceased actively practicing law prior to incurring the expenses precludes deducting them as business expenses.

Holding

1. Yes, because the indictment was directly connected with and proximately

© 2025 SCOTUSreports.com. All rights reserved.

resulted from the petitioner's practice of law, and the petitioner is presumed innocent.
2. No, because the expenses were incurred to defend against charges directly related to his former law practice.

Court's Reasoning

The Tax Court reasoned that the legal expenses were deductible because the indictment stemmed directly from Kaufman's law practice. Citing *Kornhauser v. United States*, 276 U.S. 145, *Commissioner v. Heininger*, 320 U.S. 467, and other cases, the court emphasized that expenses incurred defending against charges arising from legitimate business transactions are deductible. The court stated, "It must be assumed that the petitioner's transactions out of which the charge grew were legitimate, since a defendant is presumed innocent until proven guilty, and the petitioner was never proven guilty." The court also rejected the Commissioner's argument that Kaufman's cessation of active practice precluded the deduction, citing *Flood v. United States*, 133 F.2d 173, and other cases holding that expenses related to past business activities remain deductible.

Practical Implications

This case clarifies that legal expenses incurred defending against criminal charges can be deductible if the charges originate from the taxpayer's business activities, even if the taxpayer is not currently engaged in that business. This ruling is particularly relevant for professionals and business owners who may face legal challenges related to their past or present business dealings. The key factor is whether the charges are directly connected to and proximately resulted from the taxpayer's business. It reinforces the principle that the presumption of innocence applies when determining the deductibility of legal expenses. Later cases have cited Kaufman to support the deductibility of legal fees when a clear nexus exists between the legal issue and the taxpayer's trade or business, emphasizing that the origin of the claim, rather than the potential consequences, is the determining factor.

© 2025 SCOTUSreports.com. All rights reserved.