12 T.C. 1038 (1949)

An automobile club providing various travel services to members, including low-cost insurance and emergency repairs, is not exempt from federal income tax as a "club" under Section 101(9) of the Internal Revenue Code.

Summary

Keystone Automobile Club sought a tax exemption under Section 101(9) of the Internal Revenue Code, arguing it was a "club" organized for non-profit purposes. The Tax Court denied the exemption, finding that Keystone's activities, which included providing insurance and financing services through affiliated companies, extended beyond traditional social or recreational club activities. The court emphasized the commercial nature of these services and their primary benefit to the club's members, thereby disqualifying Keystone from tax-exempt status.

Facts

Keystone Automobile Club provided various services to its members, including: public safety and traffic engineering, sign posting, motor patrol, safety education, emergency road services, touring and routing services, license and notary services, bail service, a monthly magazine, insurance facilities through its subsidiaries, and automobile finance facilities also through a subsidiary. Keystone owned 100% of the stock of Keystone Automobile Club Casualty Co., Keystone Automobile Club Fire Co., and Keystone Automobile Club Acceptance Co. Membership was open to any white person interested in the club's objectives. The club's income came primarily from membership dues and entrance fees. The club argued that any excess of receipts over disbursements was a trust fund for its members.

Procedural History

The Commissioner of Internal Revenue determined deficiencies in Keystone's income tax, declared value excess profits tax, and excess profits tax for the calendar year 1943, ruling that Keystone was not entitled to exemption under Section 101(9) of the Internal Revenue Code. Keystone challenged this determination in the Tax Court.

Issue(s)

Whether Keystone Automobile Club qualified for tax exemption as a "club" under Section 101(9) of the Internal Revenue Code, considering its provision of various services, including insurance and financing, to its members.

Holding

No, because Keystone's activities extended beyond the scope of traditional social or recreational club activities, and its provision of commercial services, particularly

© 2025 SCOTUSreports.com. All rights reserved.

insurance and financing through subsidiary companies, was a primary benefit to its members, disqualifying it from tax-exempt status.

Court’s Reasoning

The Tax Court relied on its prior decision in *Chattanooga Automobile Club*, finding the cases indistinguishable. The court emphasized that the services provided by Keystone, particularly its insurance and financing operations, were commercial in nature and primarily benefited the club’s members. The court noted that Keystone’s activities went beyond the scope of traditional social or recreational club activities. The fact that Keystone generated excess receipts over expenses further indicated a business purpose rather than a purely social one. The court dismissed Keystone’s argument that any excess funds were held in trust for its members, noting the lack of restrictions on the use of these funds.

Practical Implications

This case clarifies the limitations on tax exemptions for organizations claiming to be social clubs, particularly when they engage in commercial activities that primarily benefit their members. It underscores that providing services like insurance and financing, even through subsidiaries, can jeopardize an organization’s tax-exempt status. Legal practitioners should advise similar organizations to carefully structure their activities to avoid commercial ventures that primarily serve the economic interests of their members. Later cases have cited *Keystone Automobile Club* to emphasize the requirement that exempt organizations must primarily serve a social or recreational purpose, rather than providing commercial services to members.

© 2025 SCOTUSreports.com. All rights reserved.