

## ***Mnookin's Estate v. Commissioner, 12 T.C. 744 (1949)***

A taxpayer consistently using the accrual method of accounting cannot be forced to include prior years' accounts receivable in income when changing the treatment of credit sales, and a partnership agreement can prevent partnership termination upon a partner's death for tax purposes.

### **Summary**

The Tax Court addressed two issues: (1) whether the Commissioner could include accounts receivable from prior years in a decedent's 1942 income when changing the treatment of credit sales to the accrual method, and (2) whether partnership income for a period after the decedent's death should be included in the decedent's final income tax return. The court held that the Commissioner erred in including prior years' receivables because the taxpayer consistently used the accrual method. It also held that the partnership's tax year did not end with the decedent's death because the partnership agreement stipulated that the partnership would continue, thus the decedent's share of the partnership income wasn't includable in the final return.

### **Facts**

Samuel Mnookin, the decedent, consistently used the accrual method of accounting for his business. However, he treated credit sales on a cash basis in his tax returns. Upon auditing Mnookin's 1942 return, the Commissioner determined that credit sales should be treated on the accrual basis and included accounts receivable from prior years (amounting to \$130,456.73 as of January 1, 1942) in Mnookin's 1942 income. Mnookin was also a partner in Fashion Credit Clothing & Jewelry Co. The partnership agreement stated that the partnership wouldn't terminate upon a partner's death. Mnookin died on December 1, 1943. The Commissioner included \$6,436.34, representing Mnookin's share of the partnership income from June 1 to December 1, 1943, in his final income tax return.

### **Procedural History**

The Commissioner determined deficiencies in Samuel Mnookin's income tax for 1942 and for the period January 1 to December 1, 1943. The Estate of Samuel Mnookin petitioned the Tax Court for review, contesting the inclusion of the accounts receivable and the partnership income in the decedent's income.

### **Issue(s)**

1. Whether the Commissioner erred in including accounts receivable from prior years in the decedent's 1942 gross income when the decedent consistently used the accrual method of accounting.
2. Whether the Commissioner erred in including partnership income for the period June 1 to December 1, 1943, in the decedent's income for the period January 1 to

December 1, 1943, when the partnership agreement provided that the partnership would continue after a partner's death.

## **Holding**

1. Yes, because Samuel Mnookin consistently used the accrual method of accounting, and the Commissioner's action was not justified under those circumstances.
2. Yes, because the partnership agreement specifically provided that the partnership would continue after the death of a partner, and therefore the tax year of the partnership did not end with the decedent's death.

## **Court's Reasoning**

Regarding the accounts receivable, the court relied on *Greene Motor Co.*, 5 T.C. 314, which held that the Commissioner couldn't include improperly deducted reserves from prior years in a later year's income if the taxpayer consistently used the accrual method. The court distinguished *William Hardy, Inc. v. Commissioner* and other cases because those cases involved changes from the cash to the accrual method, which wasn't the case here. The court stated, "In the case at bar, as already stated, Samuel Mnookin had consistently followed the accrual method of accounting, and he neither requested nor made any change in that method."

Regarding the partnership income, the court noted that while death ordinarily dissolves a partnership, Missouri law (where the partnership operated) allows for the continuation of a partnership if the articles of partnership so provide. The court cited *Henderson's Estate v. Commissioner*, 155 F.2d 310, which held that a partnership's tax year doesn't necessarily end with a partner's death if the partnership continues. The court reasoned that the withdrawals made by the decedent were merely advances or borrowings from the partnership funds and would be accounted for at the close of the partnership's fiscal year. The court emphasized that the estate would eventually be taxed on these earnings under section 182 of the Internal Revenue Code, "whether or not distribution is made to" it.

## **Practical Implications**

This case clarifies the tax treatment of accounts receivable when the IRS seeks to adjust accounting methods. It prevents the IRS from retroactively taxing income that should have been taxed in prior years, provided the taxpayer has consistently used the accrual method. For partnership agreements, it reinforces the ability to contractually continue a partnership after a partner's death for tax purposes, impacting how income is allocated and taxed. This is particularly relevant for estate planning and business succession, allowing for smoother transitions and potentially deferring tax liabilities. Practitioners should ensure partnership agreements clearly articulate the intent for the partnership to continue, as this case demonstrates the

importance of such provisions in determining tax liabilities following a partner's death. Later cases may distinguish this ruling based on specific provisions of state partnership law or the precise wording of the partnership agreement concerning continuation after death.