

## **12 T.C. 694 (1949)**

The estate of a deceased partner is generally taxed on its share of partnership income as ordinary income, and the sale of the partnership interest is treated as a capital transaction, subject to capital loss limitations.

### **Summary**

The Tax Court addressed several tax issues arising from the death of a partner and the continuation of the partnership. The court held that the estate could not reduce its share of partnership income by the difference between the inventory value used for estate tax purposes and the lower value used for partnership income computation. It also determined that the sale of the partnership interest resulted in a capital loss, subject to limitations. Charitable gifts made by the partnership were deductible in full when computing distributable partnership income, and an advance payment of state income taxes was deductible in the year paid.

### **Facts**

Aaron Lowenstein, a partner in Taylor, Lowenstein & Co., died on July 8, 1941. The partnership agreement stipulated that the business would continue for one year following his death, with his estate receiving his share of profits. The partnership valued its inventory at cost or market, whichever was lower, for income tax purposes. The estate tax return valued the inventory at its fair market value on the date of death, which was higher than the value used for partnership income tax purposes. In 1943, the surviving partners purchased Lowenstein's interest from his estate.

### **Procedural History**

The Commissioner of Internal Revenue determined deficiencies in the estate's income tax for 1942 and 1943. The estate challenged these determinations in the Tax Court, contesting the treatment of partnership income, the loss on the sale of the partnership interest, the deductibility of charitable gifts, and the deductibility of advanced state income tax payments.

### **Issue(s)**

1. Whether the estate's share of distributable partnership income should be reduced by the difference between the inventory value used for estate tax purposes and the value used for partnership income computation.
2. Whether the loss on the sale of the partnership interest was an ordinary loss or a capital loss.
3. Whether charitable gifts made by the partnership are deductible in computing the estate's share of distributable partnership income.
4. Whether an advance payment of state income taxes is deductible in the year paid.

## Holding

1. No, because the estate's right was to a share of partnership income, not specific inventory items, and the inventory valuation for estate tax purposes does not affect the computation of partnership income.
2. The loss was a capital loss, because a partnership interest is a capital asset, and its sale is subject to the capital loss provisions of the Internal Revenue Code.
3. Yes, because the estate never received the amounts representing its portion of the charitable gifts, and these gifts were deducted from partnership income before the estate's share was determined.
4. Yes, because the estate was authorized to, and did, make an advanced payment of the 1942 income taxes in that year in good faith.

## Court's Reasoning

The court reasoned that Section 113 of the Internal Revenue Code, regarding the basis of property acquired from a decedent, did not apply because the estate did not receive a distribution of specific inventory items. The estate acquired a contractual right to a share of partnership income. Citing *Bull v. United States*, 295 U.S. 247 (1935), the court stated that distributions from a continuing partnership retain their character as income. Regarding the sale of the partnership interest, the court acknowledged its prior decisions holding that a partnership interest is a capital asset. The court emphasized that the charitable gifts were deducted from partnership income before the estate's share was determined, meaning the estate never actually received that portion of the income. Finally, because Alabama law allowed for advance payment of state income taxes, and the payment was made in good faith, the deduction was allowable. The court noted, "Since the petitioner was authorized to make and did make the advanced payment of the 1942 income taxes in that year in good faith, we think that the respondent erred in disallowing the deduction."

## Practical Implications

This case clarifies the tax treatment of partnership interests after a partner's death, emphasizing that the estate's share of partnership income is generally treated as ordinary income, and the sale of the partnership interest is a capital transaction. This informs tax planning for partners and their estates, highlighting the importance of considering capital loss limitations. The decision also provides guidance on the deductibility of charitable contributions made by partnerships and the deductibility of advanced state tax payments, offering practical insights for estate administration and tax compliance. This case highlights the importance of carefully drafted partnership agreements that address tax implications of a partner's death. Later cases would further refine the characterization of partnership distributions, distinguishing between payments for a capital interest and those considered a distributive share of partnership income.