William C. Atwater & Co. v. Commissioner, 15 T.C. 51 (1950)

Section 24(c) of the Internal Revenue Code (now Section 267) disallows deductions for unpaid expenses to related parties if not paid within a certain timeframe and not includible in the recipient's gross income.

Summary

The Tax Court addressed whether a taxpayer could deduct accrued salaries to related parties and the cost of moving a radio tower. The court held that the salary deductions were disallowed because the related parties did not constructively receive the income within the tax year, thus failing the requirements of Section 24(c). Further, the cost of moving the radio tower was considered a capital expenditure, not a deductible expense, as it improved the taxpayer's broadcast reception. The court sustained the Commissioner's determination on depreciation deductions, finding insufficient evidence to justify a change.

Facts

William C. Atwater & Co. accrued salaries on its books for 1941, payable to the petitioner's brothers and sister. These salaries were paid in September 1942, more than two and a half months after the close of 1941. The petitioner also incurred expenses for dismantling, moving, and re-erecting a radio tower to improve broadcast reception. Additionally, the petitioner claimed depreciation deductions for 1941, 1942, and 1943, which the Commissioner partially disallowed.

Procedural History

The Commissioner disallowed deductions claimed by William C. Atwater & Co. for accrued salaries, expenses related to moving the radio tower, and depreciation. The taxpayer petitioned the Tax Court for review of the Commissioner's determinations.

Issue(s)

1. Whether the petitioner's right to deduct $4,000 accrued as salaries on his books for 1941 is barred by section 24 (c) of the Internal Revenue Code.

2. Whether the amounts paid out for dismantling, moving, and reerecting a radio tower are deductible as ordinary and necessary expenses or are capital expenditures to be recovered through depreciation.

3. Whether the Commissioner erred in disallowing portions of deductions for depreciation claimed for 1941, 1942, and 1943.

Holding

1. No, because the requirements of Section 24(c) were met as the salaries were not

© 2025 SCOTUSreports.com. All rights reserved.

paid within the specified timeframe and were not constructively received by the related parties during the tax year.

2. The costs were capital expenditures because the purpose of the expenditure was to improve broadcast reception, which constitutes a permanent improvement or betterment.

3. No, because the taxpayer provided insufficient evidence to justify a change in the depreciation rate previously accepted by the Commissioner.

Court’s Reasoning

The court reasoned that Section 24(c) disallows deductions for unpaid expenses to related parties if (1) not paid within the taxable year or within two and a half months after the close thereof; and (2) the amount is not includible in the gross income of such person for the taxable year. The court found that the brothers and sister did not constructively receive the bonuses in 1941, as they had no power to draw checks, and there was no affirmative action to put the money within their control. Regarding the radio tower, the court determined that the expenditure was

© 2025 SCOTUSreports.com. All rights reserved.