

## ***Kern v. Commissioner, 11 T.C. 31 (1948)***

For purposes of Internal Revenue Code Section 107(a), which allows for tax benefits when at least 80% of total compensation for personal services is received in one taxable year, all compensation received for the same services, regardless of the source, must be combined to determine the 'total compensation for personal services.'

### **Summary**

The petitioners, officers of a new corporation, received management stock in 1943 for services rendered from 1935 to 1943. They sought to apply Section 107(a) of the Internal Revenue Code, which provides tax benefits if at least 80% of total compensation for personal services is received in one taxable year. The IRS argued that the stock value was not 80% of their total compensation because salaries and fees they received as officers should be included in the calculation. The Tax Court held that all compensation for the same services must be combined, regardless of the source, when determining the applicability of Section 107, thus denying the petitioners the tax benefit.

### **Facts**

Petitioners performed managerial services for a corporation from 1935 to 1943. As compensation for these services, they received management stock in 1943. Petitioners also received salaries and fees from the corporation for acting as officers, directors, and employees. The value of the management stock alone was less than 80% of the total compensation received when including the salaries and fees.

### **Procedural History**

The Commissioner of Internal Revenue determined that the petitioners were not entitled to the tax benefits under Section 107(a) of the Internal Revenue Code. The petitioners appealed this determination to the Tax Court.

### **Issue(s)**

Whether, for the purpose of determining eligibility for tax benefits under Section 107(a) of the Internal Revenue Code, compensation received from multiple sources for the same personal services must be aggregated to calculate 'total compensation for personal services.'

### **Holding**

No, because the services compensated from two sources were the same and indivisible, the compensation therefor received from all sources must be combined in determining "the total compensation for personal service" under section 107.

## **Court's Reasoning**

The Tax Court emphasized that the critical factor is the divisibility of the personal services rendered, not the divisibility of the compensation sources. The court reasoned that the petitioners' services as officers and employees of the new corporation were of direct benefit to the corporation and indirectly benefited the bondholders of the old company. To consider these services divisible would be unrealistic. The court also noted that arrangements creating divergent interests between the corporation and its security holders regarding the services of corporate officers would be disfavored. The court stated that "divisible sources of the payment of compensation do not result in the divisibility of the services for which compensation is paid; and, unless the services themselves are divisible, the compensation received therefor, regardless of source, must be lumped together in considering the applicability of section 107." Because the services were the same and indivisible, the compensation received from all sources had to be combined to determine the 'total compensation for personal service' under Section 107.

## **Practical Implications**

This case establishes that when determining if a taxpayer meets the 80% threshold under Section 107(a) of the Internal Revenue Code, all compensation received for the same services must be considered, regardless of who is paying the compensation. This prevents taxpayers from artificially separating compensation sources to qualify for the tax benefits. Attorneys advising clients on compensation structures and tax planning should be aware that the IRS and courts will scrutinize arrangements where compensation for the same services is paid from multiple sources. Later cases applying this ruling would likely focus on whether the services compensated from different sources are truly the 'same' services, or whether they are distinct and divisible.