

## ***11 T.C. 510 (1948)***

Loans made by individuals to corporations they organized, owned, and operated are considered business bad debts, deductible in full, when those loans become worthless, as the losses are incurred in the taxpayer's trade or business.

### **Summary**

The Campbell brothers, engaged in organizing and operating retail coal businesses, made loans to one of their corporations, Campbell Bros. Coal Co. of Akron. When these loans became worthless, they claimed business bad debt deductions. The Commissioner of Internal Revenue reclassified these as nonbusiness bad debts, subject to capital loss limitations. The Tax Court reversed, holding that the loans were integral to the Campbells' business of creating and operating coal companies, thus qualifying for full deduction as business bad debts. This case highlights the distinction between business and nonbusiness debt, and the importance of demonstrating a direct connection between the debt and the taxpayer's trade or business.

### **Facts**

The Campbell brothers (Vincent, James, and John) organized, owned, and operated twelve retail coal corporations in various cities. They routinely advanced money to these corporations on open accounts. Campbell Bros. Coal Co. of Akron was one such corporation. The loans made to the Akron company became worthless in 1944. The brothers claimed these amounts as bad debt deductions on their individual tax returns.

### **Procedural History**

The Commissioner of Internal Revenue determined deficiencies in the Campbells' income tax, reclassifying the claimed bad debt deductions as nonbusiness bad debts, allowable only as short-term capital losses. The Campbells petitioned the Tax Court for review.

### **Issue(s)**

Whether loans made by the petitioners to Campbell Bros. Coal Co. of Akron, which became worthless in 1944, constituted business bad debts or nonbusiness bad debts under Section 23(k)(4) of the Internal Revenue Code.

### **Holding**

Yes, the loans were business bad debts because the losses from the worthlessness of the debt were incurred in the taxpayers' trade or business of organizing and operating retail coal corporations.

## **Court's Reasoning**

The Tax Court emphasized that the loans were directly connected to the Campbells' business of organizing and operating retail coal corporations. The court stated that the losses were "directly a result of, and incurred in, the business of organizing and operating corporations engaged in the retail coal business." This was not a case of confusing corporate and individual losses or isolated transactions. The court distinguished this situation from cases involving isolated losses or where the taxpayer's involvement was not part of their ongoing trade or business. The court implicitly recognized that the brothers were in the business of creating and managing these companies. Because the loans facilitated that business, their worthlessness constituted a business bad debt. The court rejected the Commissioner's attempt to dispute the fact of the loans themselves, as his deficiency notice was predicated on their existence. The Tax Court did not find any dissenting or concurring opinions in the decision.

## **Practical Implications**

This case illustrates that loans to related entities can be treated as business bad debts if the lending is integral to the taxpayer's trade or business. Taxpayers must demonstrate a direct and proximate relationship between the debt and their business activities. This is particularly relevant for entrepreneurs and investors involved in multiple ventures. Later cases have cited *\*Campbell\** to support the proposition that a taxpayer's activities can constitute a trade or business even if they involve organizing and managing other entities. Tax advisors should carefully analyze the nature of a taxpayer's involvement with related entities when determining the deductibility of bad debts. This case provides a fact-specific example of when a loan to a controlled entity can be considered a business rather than a nonbusiness debt, impacting the tax treatment of the loss.