Lincoln Electric Co. v. Commissioner, 9 T.C. 826 (1947)

Under the amended Renegotiation Act, the mailing of a notice of a conference by registered mail constitutes the commencement of a renegotiation proceeding; a preliminary request for financial information does not.

Summary

Lincoln Electric Co. sought a determination that a letter requesting financial information constituted the commencement of renegotiation proceedings under the Renegotiation Act, thus barring a later determination of excessive profits due to the one-year statute of limitations. The Tax Court held that under the amended Act, only the mailing of a notice of a conference constitutes the commencement of renegotiation, and a request for information does not trigger the limitations period. This decision clarified the specific act that commences renegotiation proceedings, impacting contractors subject to the Act.

Facts

On December 1, 1943, the Navy Department sent a letter to Lincoln Electric Co. stating that its profits for 1942 and 1943 might be excessive and requesting financial information. On September 28, 1945, the War Contracts Price Adjustment Board unilaterally determined Lincoln Electric's excessive profits for 1943 to be $18,950.61. The Board notified Lincoln Electric of this determination on January 15, 1946.

Procedural History

Lincoln Electric Co. petitioned the Tax Court, arguing that the initial letter of December 1, 1943, constituted the commencement of renegotiation. They contended that the subsequent order determining excessive profits, issued more than one year later, was invalid under the statute of limitations in the Renegotiation Act. The Commissioner argued that the renegotiation commenced later.

Issue(s)

Whether the letter of December 1, 1943, requesting financial information, constituted the commencement of renegotiation proceedings within the meaning of sections 403 (c) (1) and (3) of the Renegotiation Act, as amended by the Revenue Act of 1943.

Holding

No, because under the amended Renegotiation Act, the mailing of a notice of a conference, not a preliminary request for information, constitutes the commencement of a renegotiation proceeding.

© 2025 SCOTUSreports.com. All rights reserved.

Court’s Reasoning

The court emphasized the changes introduced by the Revenue Act of 1943 to the Renegotiation Act. Prior to the amendment, the interpretation of what constituted the commencement of renegotiation was inconsistent. The amended Act specified that “the mailing of such notice by registered mail to the contractor or subcontractor shall constitute the commencement of the renegotiation proceeding.” The court reasoned that Congress was aware of the prior inconsistent interpretations and deliberately chose a specific event – the mailing of a conference notice – to mark the commencement of renegotiation. The court distinguished the case from *Stein Brothers Manufacturing Co.*, noting that it involved a different period and a different section of the Act. The court stated,

© 2025 SCOTUSreports.com. All rights reserved.