10 T.C. 533 (1948)

A divorced parent who contributes less than half of a child's total support is not entitled to a dependency credit, even if the total support payments are for multiple children and the parent claims to have provided more than half the support for at least one of them.

Summary

Ollie Kotlowski sought dependency credits for his eight children after his divorce. The divorce decree required him to pay a set amount for their support, but these payments, combined with his other contributions, amounted to less than half of their total support. His ex-wife, who had custody, contributed more than half. Kotlowski argued he should get a credit for at least some of the children. The Tax Court denied his claim, holding that he failed to provide more than half the support for each child individually. The court emphasized that contributions were made for all eight children collectively, not specifically allocated to individual children.

Facts

Ollie Kotlowski and his wife, Beatrice, divorced in 1944, with Beatrice being awarded custody of their eight minor children. The divorce decree ordered Ollie to pay $75 per month for the support of the children, later increased to $90 per month in 1945. Beatrice also worked and contributed to the children's support. Ollie's total contributions were less than half of the total amount spent on the children's support during both 1944 and 1945. Beatrice provided the children with a home, managed the household, and covered all expenses related to raising them. Ollie and Beatrice lived in separate residences since the divorce proceedings commenced.

Procedural History

Ollie Kotlowski filed his federal income tax returns for 1944 and 1945, claiming dependency credits for all eight children. The Commissioner of Internal Revenue disallowed these credits, asserting that Ollie did not contribute over half of the children's support. Kotlowski then petitioned the Tax Court to challenge the Commissioner's determination.

Issue(s)

1. Whether a divorced parent, who contributes less than half of the total support for their children, can claim a dependency credit for any of the children if they argue they provided more than half the support for at least one of them.

Holding

1. No, because the taxpayer's contributions were made for the support of all eight children collectively, and the taxpayer did not prove that he contributed more

© 2025 SCOTUSreports.com. All rights reserved.

than half of the support for any individual child.

Court’s Reasoning

The court relied on Section 25(b) of the Internal Revenue Code, as amended by the Individual Income Tax Act of 1944, which allows a dependency credit for each dependent over half of whose support was received from the taxpayer. The court stated that the intent of Congress was “plain that a taxpayer must furnish the chief support of each dependent for which he claims credit to be entitled to the credit.” The court rejected Kotlowski’s argument that he should be allowed credits for some of the children, reasoning that his payments were intended for all eight children. Since he conceded that his contributions were less than half of the total support for all the children, and he presented no evidence demonstrating that he provided more than half the support for any specific child, he was not entitled to the dependency credits. The court distinguished this case from situations where support is clearly allocated to specific individuals. Citing *Eleanor L. Mack, 37 B.T.A. 1101*, the Tax Court reiterated that a taxpayer must demonstrate they provided the “chief” support to qualify for the dependency credit.

Practical Implications

This case clarifies that a taxpayer must provide more than half of the support for each claimed dependent to qualify for a dependency credit. It is not enough to show that the taxpayer contributed a significant amount, or that the aggregate support payments could hypothetically cover more than half the support for a subset of the dependents. The court’s reasoning emphasizes the importance of clear documentation and allocation of support payments, especially in cases involving divorced parents. This decision impacts tax planning for divorced or separated parents, requiring them to carefully track and document support contributions to accurately claim dependency credits. Later cases have cited *Kotlowski* to reinforce the requirement of proving that the taxpayer provided over half of the dependent’s total support.

© 2025 SCOTUSreports.com. All rights reserved.