Harry B. Gearn v. Commissioner, 9 T.C. 8 (1947)

For income to be reallocated to prior years under Section 107 of the Internal Revenue Code (regarding personal services), at least 80% of the total compensation for those services must have been received in one taxable year.

Summary

Harry Gearn, an insurance agent, sought to allocate a portion of his 1942 commissions to prior years under Section 107 of the Internal Revenue Code. The Tax Court held that Gearn could not reallocate the income because he did not receive at least 80% of his total compensation from the insurance policies in a single taxable year. The court also addressed deductions for business expenses, disallowing some claimed expenses due to lack of substantiation and because they violated his employment contract, but allowing a portion based on the Cohan rule. The decision clarifies the application of Section 107 and reinforces the need for adequate expense documentation.

Facts

- Gearn received commissions from insurance policies he sold.
- He sought to allocate a portion of the 1942 commissions to prior years under Section 107 of the Internal Revenue Code.
- Gearn also claimed deductions for various business expenses, including carfare, lunches, gifts to prospects, and prizes to agents under his supervision.
- His employment contract with Metropolitan expressly forbade gifts and prizes to insurance prospects.
- Gearn's expense records were reconstructed based on approximations rather than accurate records.

Procedural History

The Commissioner of Internal Revenue disallowed the income reallocation under Section 107 and also disallowed a significant portion of Gearn's claimed business expense deductions. Gearn petitioned the Tax Court for review of the Commissioner's determination. The Tax Court upheld the Commissioner's determination regarding Section 107, partially sustained the expense deductions, and ordered a Rule 50 computation to adjust for medical expense deductions.

Issue(s)

1. Whether Gearn could allocate a portion of his 1942 commissions to prior years under Section 107 of the Internal Revenue Code.
2. Whether Gearn was entitled to deduct the full amount of the business expenses he claimed in 1942 and 1943.

Holding

© 2025 SCOTUSreports.com. All rights reserved.

1. No, because Gearn did not receive at least 80% of his total compensation for the relevant services in one taxable year.
2. No, not in full, because some expenses were unsubstantiated, and others violated his employment contract; however, a partial deduction was allowed based on the Cohan rule.

Court's Reasoning

The court reasoned that Section 107 requires at least 80% of the total compensation for personal services to be received in one taxable year for income reallocation to be permissible. Gearn's total commissions from the Cohen policies between 1942 and 1945 were $16,065.66, with only $10,638.28 received in 1942. The court rejected Gearn's attempt to separate "acquisition commissions" from other forms of compensation. The court relied on precedent such as *J. Mackay Spears, 7 T.C. 1271*, which stated that total compensation from a single employment contract must be considered when applying Section 107.

Regarding expenses, the court disallowed deductions for gifts and prizes because Gearn's employment contract forbade them. The court found Gearn's expense account unreliable because it was based on approximations. However, relying on *Cohan v. Commissioner, 39 Fed. (2d) 540*, the court allowed a partial deduction, stating: "Notwithstanding the nondeductible character of some of the items claimed, however, and the uncertainty of the proof as to some of the others, we are convinced from the evidence, as a whole, that the petitioner did incur expenses of a deductible character in excess of what the respondent has allowed, and we must therefore make such allowance as the evidence justifies."

Practical Implications

This case highlights the strict requirements for income averaging under Section 107 of the Internal Revenue Code. Taxpayers seeking to reallocate income must demonstrate that they received at least 80% of their total compensation in a single taxable year. Furthermore, the case reinforces the importance of maintaining accurate and detailed records of business expenses. While the Cohan rule may allow for some deduction even without perfect records, it is essential to show that deductible expenses were actually incurred. Finally, this case makes clear that expenses that violate an employment agreement are not deductible, even if they arguably benefit the business.

© 2025 SCOTUSreports.com. All rights reserved.